

# Veritas Enterprise Vault™

## Performance Guide

### 14.1

# Veritas Enterprise Vault™: Performance Guide

Last updated: 2021-03-19.

## Legal Notice

Copyright © 2021 Veritas Technologies LLC. All rights reserved.

Veritas, the Veritas Logo, Enterprise Vault, Compliance Accelerator, and Discovery Accelerator are trademarks or registered trademarks of Veritas Technologies LLC or its affiliates in the U.S. and other countries. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

This product may contain third-party software for which Veritas is required to provide attribution to the third party ("Third-party Programs"). Some of the Third-party Programs are available under open source or free software licenses. The License Agreement accompanying the Software does not alter any rights or obligations you may have under those open source or free software licenses. Refer to the Third-party Legal Notices document accompanying this Veritas product or available at:

<https://www.veritas.com/about/legal/license-agreements>

The product described in this document is distributed under licenses restricting its use, copying, distribution, and decompilation/reverse engineering. No part of this document may be reproduced in any form by any means without prior written authorization of Veritas Technologies LLC and its licensors, if any.

THE DOCUMENTATION IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED CONDITIONS, REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES, INCLUDING ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR NON-INFRINGEMENT, ARE DISCLAIMED, EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT THAT SUCH DISCLAIMERS ARE HELD TO BE LEGALLY INVALID. VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES IN CONNECTION WITH THE FURNISHING, PERFORMANCE, OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENTATION. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENTATION IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITHOUT NOTICE.

The Licensed Software and Documentation are deemed to be commercial computer software as defined in FAR 12.212 and subject to restricted rights as defined in FAR Section 52.227-19 "Commercial Computer Software - Restricted Rights" and DFARS 227.7202, et seq. "Commercial Computer Software and Commercial Computer Software Documentation," as applicable, and any successor regulations, whether delivered by Veritas as on-premises or hosted services. Any use, modification, reproduction release, performance, display or disclosure of the Licensed Software and Documentation by the U.S. Government shall be solely in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

Veritas Technologies LLC  
2625 Augustine Drive  
Santa Clara, CA 95054

<https://www.veritas.com>

# Contents

<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>9</b>
	What's new in this guide.....	9
	Supporting documents.....	10
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Indexing engine</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Storage Queue</b> .....	<b>15</b>
	Choosing a location for the Storage Queue.....	15
	Location of the Storage Queue when it is not used for safety copies...	15
	Location of the Storage Queue when it is used for safety copies.....	16
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Metadata store (MDS)</b> .....	<b>17</b>
	Updating existing archives to use MDS .....	17
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Storage Compliance Sampling</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Storage Classification</b> .....	<b>21</b>
	Sizing a system .....	21
	Impact of classification .....	22
	Exporting records .....	24
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Archive Discovery Search Services</b> .....	<b>27</b>
	Sizing a system .....	27
	Result Location.....	27
	Indexing service considerations .....	28
	Indexing server specification .....	28
	Indexing storage considerations.....	29
	32-bit and 64-bit index volumes.....	30
	64-bit index volume tuning .....	31
	Index file locations.....	32
	Index file fragmentation .....	32
	System tuning.....	33
	Impact of co-existing eDiscovery solutions.....	33
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>Hardware</b> .....	<b>35</b>

Recommended processors and memory .....	35
VMware ESX Server .....	36
Recommended memory .....	36
Hyperthreading .....	36
Storage .....	36
Enterprise vault store .....	36
Indexes .....	37
Local disks .....	37
Network .....	38
<b>Chapter 9 Exchange mailbox archiving.....</b>	<b>39</b>
Archiving from Exchange 2013 or 2016 .....	39
Number of physical cores .....	40
Calculating disk space .....	41
Disk space used by vault store partitions.....	41
Disk space used by indexes .....	42
Network usage .....	42
Communicating with and copying data from Exchange servers .....	43
Communicating with SQL .....	43
Writing to the vault store partition .....	43
Reading and writing indexes.....	43
Summary .....	44
Effect on the Exchange server.....	44
Tuning parameters for Exchange mailbox and journaling.....	45
Setting the number of connections to the Exchange server.....	45
Changing the distribution list cache size.....	45
<b>Chapter 10 Exchange journaling.....</b>	<b>47</b>
Introduction .....	47
Number of physical cores .....	47
Calculating disk space .....	48
Disk space used by vault store partitions.....	49
Disk space used by indexes .....	50
Network usage.....	50
Communicating with the Exchange servers.....	50
Communicating with SQL .....	51
Writing to the storage medium.....	51
Reading and writing indexes.....	51
Summary .....	51
The impact of journal report decryption on journaling .....	52
Tuning parameters for Exchange mailbox and journaling.....	53

<b>Chapter 11 PST migration</b> .....	<b>55</b>
Introduction.....	55
Choice of CPU.....	55
Location and collection.....	56
Increasing the number of concurrent migrations.....	57
Changing the sample size for PST ownership identification.....	58
Calculating disk space.....	58
Disk space used by vault store partitions.....	59
Disk space used by indexes.....	60
<b>Chapter 12 Domino mailbox archiving</b> .....	<b>61</b>
Number of physical cores.....	61
Adjusting the number of threads.....	62
Calculating disk space.....	63
Disk space used by vault store partitions.....	63
Disk space used by indexes.....	64
Network traffic.....	64
Retrieving archived items.....	65
<b>Chapter 13 Domino journaling</b> .....	<b>67</b>
Number of cores.....	67
Number of concurrent connections to the Domino server.....	68
Calculating disk space.....	68
Disk space used by vault stores.....	69
Disk space used by indexes.....	70
Network traffic.....	70
<b>Chapter 14 NSF migration</b> .....	<b>71</b>
Introduction.....	71
Number of cores.....	72
Calculating disk space.....	72
Disk space used by vault stores.....	72
Disk space used by indexes.....	73
Network traffic.....	74
<b>Chapter 15 SMTP archiving</b> .....	<b>75</b>
Number of physical cores.....	75
Calculating disk space.....	76
Disk space used by vault store partitions.....	76
Disk space used by indexes.....	77

Disk space used by holding folder .....	78
Disk space used by message tracking log folder .....	78
Network usage .....	79
Communicating with and receiving data from SMTP source .....	79
Communicating with SQL .....	79
Writing to the vault store partition .....	79
Reading and writing indexes .....	80
Summary .....	80
The impact of SSL/TLS .....	81
<b>Chapter 16 Skype for Business archiving .....</b>	<b>83</b>
Number of physical cores .....	83
Calculating disk space .....	84
Disk space used by vault store partitions .....	84
Disk space used by indexes .....	85
Disk space used by holding folder .....	85
Network usage .....	86
Communicating with and receiving data from the Skype for Business source .....	86
Communicating with SQL .....	87
Writing to the vault store partition .....	87
Reading and writing indexes .....	87
Summary .....	87
<b>Chapter 17 File System Archiving .....</b>	<b>89</b>
Number of cores .....	89
Calculating disk space .....	90
Disk space used by vault stores .....	91
Disk space used by indexes .....	91
Network usage .....	92
Communicating with the file server .....	92
Communicating with the SQL database .....	92
Transfer of data to the storage medium and retrieval for indexing .....	92
Reading and writing indexes .....	93
Summary .....	93
File types .....	93
File system folder links to Enterprise Vault Search .....	94
<b>Chapter 18 SharePoint .....</b>	<b>95</b>
Introduction .....	95
Number of cores .....	95

Calculating disk space.....	96
Disk space used by vault stores .....	96
Disk space used by indexes .....	97
Network traffic .....	97
Retrieving items.....	98
<b>Chapter 19 Enterprise Vault Extensions .....</b>	<b>99</b>
Introduction.....	99
Number of cores .....	100
Calculating disk space.....	100
Disk space used by vault stores .....	101
Disk space used by indexes .....	101
<b>Chapter 20 Archiving to Centera .....</b>	<b>103</b>
Archiving with and without Centera collections.....	103
Centera sharing model .....	104
Choice of Enterprise Vault server .....	104
Centera settings .....	105
Centera limits .....	105
Self-healing .....	106
NTFS to Centera migration.....	106
<b>Chapter 21 Archiving to a storage device through the Storage     Streamer API .....</b>	<b>107</b>
Choice of Enterprise Vault server .....	107
<b>Chapter 22 Data Classification Services.....</b>	<b>109</b>
Sizing a system .....	109
Effect on Enterprise Vault servers .....	110
Network usage .....	111
<b>Chapter 23 User activity .....</b>	<b>113</b>
Effect of metadata store on user response times .....	113
<b>Chapter 24 Virtual Vault .....</b>	<b>117</b>
Overview .....	117
Initial synchronization .....	118
Incremental synchronization.....	119

<b>Chapter 25</b>	<b>Backtrace .....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>Chapter 26</b>	<b>Move Archive.....</b>	<b>123</b>
	Overview.....	123
	Setting Move Archive parameters.....	123
	Moving small number of users.....	124
	Moving large number of users.....	124
	General notes.....	126
<b>Chapter 27</b>	<b>Combined activity .....</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>Chapter 28</b>	<b>Rules of thumb for IOPS .....</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>Chapter 29</b>	<b>Backup of indexes .....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Chapter 30</b>	<b>Document conversion.....</b>	<b>133</b>
	IFilters and Optical Character Recognition of image files.....	133
	Converting to HTML or text.....	135
	Excluding files from conversion.....	135
	Conversion timeout.....	136
<b>Chapter 31</b>	<b>Amazon Web Services (AWS) Cloud.....</b>	<b>137</b>
	Deployment for Performance Measurements.....	137
	Performance Numbers.....	138
<b>Chapter 32</b>	<b>Microsoft Azure Cloud .....</b>	<b>139</b>
	Deployment for Performance Measurements.....	139
	Performance Numbers.....	140

# Introduction

This document provides guidelines on expected performance when running Veritas Enterprise Vault.

Every customer has different needs and different environments. Many factors influence the performance and needs of an archiving system. These include the type and size of data that is archived, the file types, the distribution lists, and so on. In addition, most systems expect growth in both volume and size of data, and indeed the very existence of an archiving service may lead users to allow their mail or files to be archived rather than delete them. All this leads to the need to be very cautious when sizing a system.

This guide has a separate section for each of the archiving agents.

## What's new in this guide

This guide has been updated from the previous version as the result of further performance investigations and feedback. The most notable additions in this latest version are new sections that describe the following:

- Addition of S3 Intelligent-Tiering storage class for Amazon Simple Storage Service (S3) and Amazon Commercial Cloud Services (C2S) primary partitions and smart partitions.
- Support for Amazon Simple Storage Service (S3) primary partition in WORM mode using S3 Object Lock feature of Amazon.
- Addition of Amazon Simple Storage Service (S3) One Zone-IA and Intelligent-Tiering storage classes for secondary migration.
- Support for Generic S3 connector for primary partition that allows archiving on S3-compliant storage devices.
- Starting with release 14.1, Enterprise Vault supports Single Sign-On (SSO) authentication for Enterprise Vault Search using Security

Assertion Markup Language (SAML) 2.0 compliant Identity Providers (IdP).

- A web-based solution that reflects the current state of Enterprise Vault Indexing at granular level that will help an administrator take actions on unhealthy indexes in a timely manner. It assists Enterprise Vault administrators overcome the challenges that they face on a daily basis with the Indexing service.
- Compliance Accelerator and Discover Accelerator allow NSF rollover while exporting Domino items into NSF files. An administrator can configure the size of the NSF file in MB beyond which it will be rolled over. The maximum size for rollover is 65535 MB.

In most cases, the performance of Enterprise Vault 14.1 is equivalent to that of previous versions.

## Supporting documents

Use this guide in conjunction with the following documents:

- Enterprise Vault Compatibility Charts, which is available from the following page of the Veritas Support website:  
<http://www.veritas.com/docs/000097605>
- Veritas Discovery Accelerator 14.1 Best Practices Guide, which is available from the following page on the Veritas Support website:  
<http://www.veritas.com/docs/000081985>  
The guide discusses the different aspects that you need to consider during sizing, and recommends best practices for implementation. Most of the advice in the guide applies to Compliance Accelerator as well.
- Veritas Enterprise Vault™ Best Practice for Implementing Enterprise Vault in AWS and Microsoft Azure Cloud, which is available from the following page on the Veritas Support website:  
[https://www.veritas.com/support/en\\_US/doc/EV\\_14\\_Azure\\_AWS\\_Best\\_Practices\\_Guide](https://www.veritas.com/support/en_US/doc/EV_14_Azure_AWS_Best_Practices_Guide)
- Enterprise Vault Data Classification Services - Implementation Guide, which is available from the following page of the Veritas Support website:  
<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100040605>
- Exchange Server documentation, which is available at the following location:  
[https://technet.microsoft.com/en-s/library/aa996058\(v=exchg.150\).aspx](https://technet.microsoft.com/en-s/library/aa996058(v=exchg.150).aspx)

- Enterprise Vault 14.1: SQL Best Practices Guide, which is available at the following location:  
<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>
- Enterprise Vault Best Practices Guide - Implementing Enterprise Vault on VMware, which is available at the following location:  
[https://www.veritas.com/support/en\\_US/article.100023811](https://www.veritas.com/support/en_US/article.100023811)
- Enterprise Vault Best Practices Guide - Enterprise Vault indexing, which covers details of the EV indexing system. The document is available from the following page of the Veritas Support website:  
[https://www.veritas.com/support/en\\_US/doc/EV-BP-Indexing-October2015](https://www.veritas.com/support/en_US/doc/EV-BP-Indexing-October2015)
- Virtual Vault Best Practices Guide, which is available at the following location:  
<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100022180>
- IMAP Access Client Configuration Guides, which are available at the following location:  
<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100040609>
- Best Practices for Deploying SMTP Archiving, which is available at the following location:  
[https://www.veritas.com/content/support/en\\_US/doc/ev\\_12\\_bp\\_SMTP\\_00](https://www.veritas.com/content/support/en_US/doc/ev_12_bp_SMTP_00)
- Migrating from the Legacy SMTP Archiving Solution, which is available at the following location:  
[https://www.veritas.com/support/en\\_US/doc-viewer.118344599-118344609-0.index](https://www.veritas.com/support/en_US/doc-viewer.118344599-118344609-0.index)



# Indexing engine

Enterprise Vault 10.0 introduced a 64-bit indexing engine to replace the 32-bit technology used in previous versions of Enterprise Vault. To take advantage of the 64-bit technology, Enterprise Vault 10.0 and later requires more processing power and more memory than previous versions. The recommended number of cores has been increased to 8 and the recommended memory has been increased to 16 GB.

With the hardware upgraded to the new recommended level, users will see faster response times from searches from the new 64-bit indexing engine when compared with the old 32-bit engine, especially with the system under load. Enterprise Vault also becomes far more scalable especially when used with the Accelerator products.

This guide assumes that the Indexing service runs on the same server as other Enterprise Vault services on an Enterprise Vault server. You do not have to install the Indexing service on every Enterprise Vault server. For example, in larger deployments of Enterprise Vault the Indexing and Storage services can be located on more powerful computers to optimize search and retrieve performance. Associated Storage and Indexing services can reside on different computers.

For further guidance and sizing, see the *Best practices white paper for Enterprise Vault indexing*. This is available on the Veritas Support website at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/000002688>



# Storage Queue

Enterprise Vault 11.0 introduced a new mechanism for ingesting items: Storage Queue. For Exchange archiving, the Storage Queue replaces some of the functions of Microsoft Message Queuing (MSMQ). For other agents, it allows asynchronous ingest. The agent inserts items into the queue, and the Storage service then takes them from the queue and archives them into the Vault Store. The Storage Queue can also be used to hold safety copies of items so that, when items are archived, they can be removed from Exchange without waiting for a backup of the Vault Store partitions.

This new mechanism is more efficient than the older ones. The Storage Queue uses fewer resources than MSMQ, and, for the other agents, there is a better balance between the processes that fetch items from the agents and the processes that store items in the Vault Stores.

## Choosing a location for the Storage Queue

The main factor to consider when choosing a location for the Storage Queue is whether it will be used to hold safety copies of items.

### Location of the Storage Queue when it is not used for safety copies

The Storage Queue location should be on a fast-local disk on a fault tolerant device (RAID 1 or higher). The disk should be large enough to hold a backlog of items. The default backlog that can be held before agent services pause to allow the Storage Service to reduce the queue is 50,000 items. Note that when the disk reaches 90% capacity, ingest pauses until some items have been removed from the queue. Therefore, you do not have to allow for 50,000 items, and, in most circumstances, you should not see a backlog accumulating. A minimum disk size of 25 GB is recommended.

The IOPS characteristics of the Storage Queue are comparable with or slightly better than those of the Message Queue doing the same task. So, if you are satisfied with the performance of the Message Queue disk, this will be suitable for the Storage Queue. (However, you should allow for the extra

## Choosing a location for the Storage Queue

space required.) In testing, IOPS per 100 KB item ingested were observed to be in the range of 20 to 40.

Do not locate the queue on any of the following:

- A network device. This is likely to slow down ingest and could more than double the network traffic that Enterprise Vault generates.
- The system drive. There will be contention for IOPS and space.
- An otherwise active drive. Other activity on the disk may slow down ingest, which may stop if the available space falls below the threshold.

You may place the queue on the drive that currently holds MSMQ. The residual MSMQ activity will create very little load on the disk.

## Location of the Storage Queue when it is used for safety copies

If you use the Storage Queue to hold the safety copy of items until the Vault Store is backed up, you need a disk that is specified as above, but you must also provision enough space to hold all the data between backups. Allow for missed backups and times when extra data is generated; if the disk becomes full, Enterprise Vault stops ingesting items. The reliability of the disk is paramount, as this is where the safety copies are retained in the event that the data in the Vault Store partition is lost before backup.

By default, the data is held uncompressed in the Storage Queue. For Exchange archiving, the space taken up by each item on the Storage Queue is about twice the size of that item in Exchange. For example, if the average size of an item in Exchange is 100 KB and you archive 1,000,000 items between backups, the space used on the disk is  $2 \times 100 \times 1,000,000$  KB, or 190 GB. To this you must add a safety factor to allow for missed backups or unexpected surges in items to be archived.

You have the option to hold the data in compressed form. If items are held compressed, they take up the same space as in Exchange. This halves the space required on the Storage Queue. The extra resources used by compression reduce the ingest rate by up to 10%.

If you are using the Storage Queue to hold safety copies, items are removed from Exchange or replaced with shortcuts immediately after archive. This means that Enterprise Vault is doing more work during ingest than if it delayed the post-processing until later. So, ingest is slower but post-processing is faster, and the overall process is faster. On average, the ingest rate is reduced by 10% but post-processing is four times as fast. Post-processing now consists of checking that the item is successfully stored and has been backed up. It does not need to remove the original item or replace it with a shortcut.

# Metadata store (MDS)

Enterprise Vault 11.0 introduced a new metadata store (MDS) technology, which enables a “Fast Browse” feature. Fast Browse-enabled archives can list the contents of an archived folder more quickly than was previously the case. This performance improvement is particularly noticeable in IMAP connections and the new Enterprise Vault Search application.

If you plan to implement the MDS technology, you must take into account the impact on SQL and the space that the databases require. This is described in the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide* at <http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>.

If you intend to enable IMAP connections, you must plan the roll-out carefully and follow the guidance in the *IMAP Access Client Configuration Guides* at <http://www.veritas.com/docs/100040609>.

## Updating existing archives to use MDS

When upgrading from a previous version of Enterprise Vault, you must update your existing archives to take advantage of the MDS technology. To calculate the time taken to update the archives, allow one hour for every 3,000 archives and one hour for every 2,500,000 items. The sum of these two values is the expected time to update on an otherwise idle system with eight cores of 2.2 GHz. That is:

$$(\text{Archives}/3000 + \text{Items}/2500000) \times (\text{Cores}/8)$$

The update process consumes CPU on both the Enterprise Vault server and SQL server. You can expect 50% of the CPU to be used on the Enterprise Vault server and 30% on a similarly specified SQL Server. This may slow down other Enterprise Vault activity, resulting in a general slowdown until the update is complete. For this reason it may be inadvisable to update all users to MDS at the same time. If you are enabling users to allow fast searching, you can let them initiate their own updates when they first search. On the other hand, if you want your users to benefit immediately from using Enterprise Vault Search, or you want to enable them for IMAP, you will want to update them before their first use.

**Updating existing archives to use MDS**

# Storage Compliance Sampling

Enterprise Vault 11.0.1 introduced Compliance Sampling in storage, which enables centralized sampling of all journal types by Compliance Accelerator 11.0.1 and later. The new architecture can also provide overall performance improvements over the previous connector-based sampling.

The new sampling may increase the size of the vault store databases during archiving, and it increases the load at each Storage service.

If you plan to implement the Compliance Sampling technology, you must take into account the impact on SQL and the space that the databases require. This is described in the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide* at <http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>.



# Storage Classification

Enterprise Vault 12 introduced classification services within storage, which enables centralized classification of all data types without the need for external or agent-based classification products and enables reclassification. The integration into storage also provides considerable performance benefits over external agents.

Storage Classification analyzes all content and helps to determine the retention strategy for all archived items.

Enterprise Vault 12.1 extends classification and retention to provide records management by marking items with a record type, and provides PowerShell cmdlets to export required records.

Enterprise Vault 12.2 enhances classification with the introduction of far more comprehensive policy and classification management with the new Veritas Information Classifier.

Enterprise Vault 12.3 introduces smart partitions, which store data based upon its classification.

## Sizing a system

Classification is integrated into the Storage service, which works in conjunction with either the Microsoft File Classification Infrastructure or the Veritas Information Classifier to provide classification of items during archiving or indexing and deletion/expiry. Items can be re-classified by rebuilding indexes after policy or rule changes. However, if smart partitions are used, re-classified items are not moved between storage partitions.

For the basic classification offering provided by FCI, the classification rules are defined through the Microsoft File Server Resource Manager (FSRM), and processed using an appropriate classifier method (which includes the basic Veritas Information Classifier). For more information, see the Enterprise Vault *Classification using FCI* guide.

You can configure the extensive policy-based classification management provided with the new Veritas Information Classifier using the Vault Administration Console. For more information, see the Enterprise Vault *Classification using VIC* guide.

---

**Note:** We recommend that you use or migrate to using the Veritas Information Classifier rather than FCI, as this provides far greater control through comprehensive policy-based classification management. For more information, see the Enterprise Vault *Classification migrating to VIC* guide.

If FCI is used, it is recommended the classifier method used is the “Veritas Information Classifier”, as it has been expressly designed to process rules in the most efficient way.

---

## Impact of classification

Extra processing is required on the Enterprise Vault servers when Storage Classification is enabled, which can impact performance in various circumstances.

Exactly when Enterprise Vault classifies the items is determined by whether you are archiving the classified items to smart partitions rather than standard vault store partitions, as follows:

- If you have chosen to use smart partitions, Enterprise Vault classifies the items at archiving time, which may extend the time to archive items.
- If you have not chosen to use smart partitions, Enterprise Vault classifies the items at indexing time, which may extend the time to index items.

Either way, the time required to archive and index all items should be similar.

The number of items that a Storage server can process depends on three main factors:

- The total number of enabled policies/rules and their complexity.
- The total number of CPU cores.
- The disk performance of the Enterprise Vault cache location.

The performance metrics shown below assume that the Enterprise Vault servers are running with recommended specification. It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

## FCI Classification

The following impacts to performance can typically be expected when enabling FCI classification:

- Archiving or indexing rates decrease as the number and complexity of rules increases.

Number of rules	Impact to performance
30	0%
250	-5%
500	-20%

- Rebuilding indexes that require classification reduces throughput by 25%.
- Enabling classification during expiry can reduce expiry throughput by 60%.
- Increased disk I/O at the Storage service Enterprise Vault cache location typically results in an additional 180 IOPS.

## VIC classification

The following impacts to performance can typically be expected when enabling VIC classification:

- Archiving or indexing rates decrease as the number and complexity of policies (and their rules) increases.

Policies enabled	Impact to performance
15 (~150 rules)	-5%
25 (~250 rules)	-8%
45 (~450 rules)	-15%

- Rebuilding indexes that require classification reduces throughput by 22%.
- Enabling classification during expiry can reduce expiry throughput by 70%.
- Increased disk I/O at the Storage service Enterprise Vault cache location typically results in an additional 180 IOPS.

## Exporting records

The records management system that you have adopted may require you to export items from your archives for long-term retention elsewhere. For example, in the Capstone approach to records management, it is customary to make periodic transfers of permanent records to the U.S. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). NARA does not have an interest in temporary records or non-records, so there is no need to transfer them.

Enterprise Vault 12.1 provides PowerShell cmdlets with which you can export selected items from an archive. See the *Administrators Guide* and *PowerShell Cmdlets* guide for more information.

The item export rate that a Storage server can deliver depends on several factors:

- The total amount of RAM
- The total number of CPU cores
- The export disk location performance

The following table shows sample export rates for the PowerShell cmdlet `Export-EVNARAArchive` from a Storage server with 8 cores and 16 GB of RAM. It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher export rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Export type	Hourly export item rate	Hourly export data rate
Exchange – Native (MSG)	360,000	79 GB/hr
Exchange – PST	160,000	35 GB/hr
Domino – Native (EML)	170,000	37 GB/hr
SMTP – Native (EML)	200,000	44 GB/hr
FSA – Native	240,000	190 GB/hr

Exporting data from vault stores that employ storage collections can typically reduce the throughput by 50%.

The default of 16 export threads provides the optimum performance and resource utilization. However, the `Export-EVNARAArchive` cmdlet accepts a `-MaxThreads` parameter with which you can adjust the number of threads.

You may find that increasing the number may yield a small increase in throughput at the expense of resource utilization.

There is no performance benefit in running multiple PowerShell export cmdlets concurrently rather than one cmdlet that is exporting multiple archives sequentially. Errors can result if you run too many PowerShell cmdlets concurrently.

Exporting to a network share in PST format can significantly impact the throughput. Such exports are typically seven times slower than normal.



# Archive Discovery Search Services

Enterprise Vault 12.2 introduces a new highly-scalable asynchronous search service. This service is used by approved and self-certified Veritas Technology Ecosystem ([VTE](#)) discovery partner products to perform eDiscovery searches of content stored in Enterprise Vault.

Please refer to the [Enterprise Vault Compatibility List](#) for approved and self-certified VTPP discovery partner products.

The service is composed of a middle tier service, which should be deployed on a suitable server, a new database and a new asynchronous search broker on each Enterprise Vault Indexing service.

## Sizing a system

The ADSS middle tier service should be installed on a server meeting the recommended specification for Enterprise Vault services. This component is responsible for orchestrating the search workflow and provides web services for 3<sup>rd</sup> party applications to submit, track and manage searches.

In addition, a new database is required which should be sized and deployed according to the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide* at <http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>.

The server hosting the ADSS Middle Tier may also be a good candidate to host the Enterprise Vault Operations Manager components. These components provide ADSS search and infrastructure monitoring.

## Result Location

The ADSS configuration requires selecting a result location on each Enterprise Vault Indexing service.

The default location is the Enterprise Vault Cache folder, which should be located on high-speed storage to ensure this folder does not become a

bottleneck. During typical ADSS searches, the result generation may contribute ~30 IOPS to the existing load at the folder.

## Indexing service considerations

The ADSS Search broker is optimized to make best use of available resources. However, as per any eDiscovery search requirements, you may need to upgrade an existing environment to meet the higher specifications that eDiscovery loads can demand.

In addition, you may want to consider the use of index groups to provide dedicated index servers. See the Enterprise Vault *Indexing Design and Implementation Best Practices* guide at <http://www.veritas.com/docs/000002688>.

## Indexing server specification

The servers hosting the Enterprise Vault indexing services may need to handle sustained periods of CPU, memory, and I/O-intensive activity during searches with, by default, ten concurrent searches running until all relevant indexes have been searched. This activity is likely to be repeated throughout the working day as the legal discovery team adds search requests.

This activity needs to run alongside any normal journaling, archiving, retrieval, or end-user loads. A multi-processor server is essential to ensure that all these concurrent activities can function with reasonable performance.

Therefore, the Enterprise Vault servers hosting indexing may need to be upgraded to accommodate the increased loads. The following table recommends the minimum number of processor cores and RAM needed per Enterprise Vault indexing server.

Enterprise Vault Indexing Server scenario	Cores	RAM
Small business using eDiscovery solution	8 cores	16 GB
Medium/Large organization	8 cores	16–32 GB
Enterprise conducting frequent large scale searches	8+ cores	32 GB

Either physical CPUs or a combination of multi-core and multi-CPU can be used, but server sizing must not be based upon hyper-threading.

---

**Note:** If the hardware of an existing Enterprise Vault indexing server changes, the 64-bit index metadata in the Repository.xml file must be rebuilt to make best use of the updated hardware. This can be achieved by using the solution described in article <http://www.veritas.com/docs/100029025>.

---

## Indexing storage considerations

Enterprise Vault indexing services use the Enterprise Vault server cache location to temporarily store result metadata, which can lead to high I/O loads – typically around 400 IOPS. The Enterprise Vault server cache should be stored on dedicated high-speed storage, and it should not be co-located with any other Enterprise Vault storage, such as indexes and vault stores.

Typical eDiscovery search loads require the index files to be available on high-speed storage. The type of storage and interfaces used must ensure that the indexing storage does not become a significant bottleneck.

The following high-level rules of thumb can be applied to select the optimum storage for each indexing service. Using lower specification storage will result in lower search and result retrieval performance.

	<b>Provision storage capacity on each Enterprise Vault indexing service</b>
Low result volume or mailbox indexes	1000 IOPS
High result volume or large / journal indexes	3000 IOPS

Sharing a storage device between multiple indexing services can cause severe performance degradation.

### Index volume search rate

A search with the default of ten concurrent index volumes typically demands 600 IOPS for 32-bit index volumes or 1,000 IOPS for 64-bit index volumes whilst searching (however, this varies depending on the size of each index and number of result hits per volume).

For a low volume of hits, ADSS should search approximately 4,000 – 5,000 mailbox index volumes per Enterprise Vault indexing server per hour, and all applicable Enterprise Vault indexing servers will be searched in parallel. So, if, for example, you have 20,000 mailbox index volumes distributed across 5 Enterprise Vault indexing servers, and each server searched 4,000 index volumes per hour, it would take 1 hour to search all 20,000 indexes.

## Indexing service considerations

As the volume of hits increases and/or size of index volumes increase, the I/O load increases and index volume search rate reduces.

It should not be necessary to try and increase the number of concurrent searches; increasing the concurrency increases the I/O load and memory footprint, so is unlikely to achieve any improvement if the index locations are not suitably sized or there is not sufficient memory.

### Network-attached storage (NAS)

You may have already chosen network-based storage for index locations before you required an eDiscovery solution. In some instances, you may have used a single NAS device as a central file location for multiple or all indexing services.

The performance of NAS storage may be sufficient for basic indexing purposes to be used by lightweight applications. These can include the Enterprise Vault user search applications, which conduct a simple search and retrieve small volumes of results. However, depending on the specification, this may present a significant bottleneck for eDiscovery searches.

Sharing a storage device between multiple indexing services can cause severe performance degradation.

If an existing environment uses a NAS device to store the indexes, it may need to be reconsidered and indexes moved to a faster location.

A NAS device should not be used for the Enterprise Vault server cache.

See the *Indexing Design and Implementation Best Practices* guide (<http://www.veritas.com/docs/000002688>).

## 32-bit and 64-bit index volumes

In upgraded environments, eDiscovery solutions may need to search a large number of both 32-bit and 64-bit index volumes.

To take advantage of the features and performance offered by the 64-bit indexing engine, it would be beneficial to upgrade all search target 32-bit index volumes to 64-bit. See the *Indexing Design and Implementation Best Practices* guide (<http://www.veritas.com/docs/000002688>).

### Index detail level

The Enterprise Vault indexing service offers several levels of indexing detail.

The following table shows the indexing levels for the Enterprise Vault indexing service.

Level	Notes
Brief	The index created by Enterprise Vault enables searches on the following attributes of each item: Author, Subject, Recipient, Created Date, Expiry Date, File Extension, Retention Category, and Original Location. If a search matches an attachment to an item, the search result contains both the main item and the attachment.
Medium (32-bit only)	As for Brief, and in addition enables searches on the content of each item, excluding phrase searches.
Full	As for Brief, and in addition enables searches on the content of each item, including phrase searches.

You can specify the default indexing detail level for archive indexes in various places. Once items have been stored in a particular archive and the index has been created, you cannot change the index detail level without rebuilding that index.

To take advantage of content phrase-based searching, ensure that all the indexes that will be searched are built with the Full level. We strongly recommend that you set the index detail level for all archives to Full.

## 64-bit index volume tuning

If an Enterprise Vault indexing service is hosted on a server with a specification that is less than the recommended one, and it is not a dedicated indexing server, then the server could become overloaded.

The following Enterprise Vault Server extended setting can be modified to reduce contention on resources. This setting should be tuned depending upon server specification and workload through experimentation to ensure that an indexing backlog does not start to form.

Server Advanced Indexing Setting	Default	Recommended
Maximum concurrent indexing capacity	30	5 – 30, depending on resource availability but not less than the below two ADSS settings.

**Indexing service considerations**

<b>Archive Discovery Search, Advanced Search Performance Settings</b>	<b>Default</b>	<b>Recommended</b>
Maximum concurrent searches allowed across all 32-bit indexes	10	5 – 10, depending on resource availability but not more than 50% of the above maximum indexing capacity.
Maximum concurrent searches allowed across all 64-bit indexes	10	5 – 10, depending on resource availability but not more than 30% of the above maximum indexing capacity.

## Index file locations

The Enterprise Vault indexing service distributes its index files between the file locations specified to the service in a round robin fashion. This may help to distribute I/O load during eDiscovery searches and improve performance. You may wish to create multiple file location on separate disk arrays to reduce contention between concurrent searches of different indexes. Four different index file locations are generally suggested, ensuring that each location is on a different disk array.

Once an index has been created, it is not moved between the locations, so adding locations to an existing system may not immediately bring about any improvements until new index volumes are added.

Avoid network-based storage for index file locations because of the I/O load. It is important that index file locations from multiple Enterprise Vault indexing services should not be located on the same disk array, if possible. This can create a storage bottleneck and affect search performance.

## Index file fragmentation

The index files can quickly become fragmented on disk, even if there is a large volume of free storage capacity. This file fragmentation can cause severe performance problems, which must be managed on any index storage device. Either an automated background file defragmentation product or scheduled device defragmentation must be employed. Any scheduled defragmentation should be performed with the indexing service stopped to prevent the potential for corruption.

---

**Note:** For certified automated background defragmentation tools, see the Enterprise Vault [Compatibility Charts](#).

---

## System tuning

Disable Windows file indexing on the drives that contain Enterprise Vault indexes.

If you have installed anti-virus software to scan file and network accesses, disable it on the index servers. Anti-virus software should exclude the index locations and the Enterprise Vault server cache from its file scan due to potential issues with anti-virus software corrupting indexes.

The opportunistic file locking mechanism is known to cause problems when storing index files on NAS storage devices. Therefore, the current Support advice is to disable opportunistic locking at the NAS head and in Windows.

## Impact of co-existing eDiscovery solutions

If ADSS is used in conjunction with other eDiscovery solutions, it might be necessary to make some tuning adjustments to prevent the Enterprise Vault indexing servers becoming overloaded.

The respective co-existing eDiscovery solutions should also be tuned accordingly to their documentation to ensure all products have an appropriately balanced use of the Enterprise Vault services.

The number of ADSS concurrent searches may need to be reduced to accommodate the co-existing eDiscovery solutions.

<b>Archive Discovery Search, Advanced Search Performance Settings</b>	<b>Default</b>	<b>Recommended</b>
Maximum concurrent searches allowed across all 32-bit indexes	10	5 – 10 depending on resource availability but not more than 50% of the index server's maximum concurrent capacity setting.
Maximum concurrent searches allowed across all 64-bit indexes	10	5 – 10 depending on resource availability but not more than 30% of the index server's maximum concurrent capacity setting.



# Hardware

The most critical factor in the performance of Enterprise Vault is the specification of the system that is used: the servers, the disk systems, the memory, and the network.

## Recommended processors and memory

This guide gives the recommended number of cores for each archiving source to achieve a given level of throughput. The other components of the system, such as the disks, memory, and network, need to match this power. Eight cores or more are recommended. Either a combination of multi-core or multi-CPU can be used, but server sizing should not be based on hyper-threading.

If the Indexing services are located on a separate server from the other Enterprise Vault services, the base Enterprise Vault server may be sized as in previous versions of Enterprise Vault, but 8 GB of memory or more are recommended. For the server hosting the Indexing service, eight cores and 16 GB of memory are recommended.

Throughput rates are given in relation to the total number of cores. The type and power of the processor or core is also important. The figures assume a processor of 2.7 GHz or similar — for example, an Intel® Xeon® processor. The figures may be adjusted to consider processors with higher or lower specifications, but you should be aware that the raw processing power may not accurately reflect its ability to do work.

The figures in this guide are meant to allow you to size systems correctly, with realistic throughput figures that can be easily achieved. They are derived from benchmarks on typical customer loads based on current customers. The figures are adjusted to allow for factors that may apply to working systems but are not covered in a benchmark and to allow some leeway if the original estimates of throughput were too low.

If these figures are not reached, it is probable that some other factor apart from processing power is causing a bottleneck. Before you upgrade the processors, check whether they are running at full capacity or whether some other element of the system is causing a bottleneck. With some tuning, you may be able to increase the throughput.

## VMware ESX Server

All the figures in this guide assume that you are running Enterprise Vault in a virtual environment and that you have followed the recommendations in the *Enterprise Vault Best Practice Guide - Implementing Enterprise Vault on VMware*. This guide is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100023811>

If you move a system from a physical environment to a virtualized environment, you may experience a degradation in performance. However, you should still attain the throughput figures in this guide.

## Recommended memory

16 GB of memory or more is recommended. The Enterprise Vault servers should be capable of easy upgrades to memory.

## Hyperthreading

Hyperthreading does not provide benefit to Enterprise Vault and in some circumstances, it may impact performance. Therefore, hyperthreading should not be used.

# Storage

## Enterprise vault store

One of the benefits of Enterprise Vault is to allow cheaper storage to be used to archive data. The primary requisite is that the archived data is secure and retrievable.

In terms of storage cost savings, there is most benefit in keeping archived data on cheaper network attached storage (NAS). However, you can also make some savings when keeping archived data on more expensive storage, such as a Storage Area Network (SAN), due to the additional compression and single-instance storage that Enterprise Vault provides.

Most NAS devices and Centera devices offer quick archiving and retrieval while providing space, reliability, and security for archived data. Storage systems from most of the major vendors have been tested for performance and found to be suitable for fast bulk storage and retrieval of data.

Some storage vendors offer devices with block level deduplication. Many of these vendors have tested their devices with Enterprise Vault and have recommendations on the best way to save storage.

## Indexes

The storage required for indexes depends on how they are used. If fast concurrent searches are required because Enterprise Vault Discovery Accelerator or Compliance Accelerator products are used, fast storage for the indexes is needed, for example a SAN or direct attached storage (DAS). On the other hand, if users are prepared to wait for searches then you can use slower systems or NAS.

Indexes become fragmented whatever the type of device and this slows down both searching and indexing. You must regularly defragment indexes, ideally while the indexing service is stopped so that defragmentation does not conflict with updates. This is very important if you are using the Accelerator products.

## Local disks

Archiving generates IOs on local disks. The primary causes of these are as follows:

- The creation of temporary files used when archiving and conversion.
- IOs that MSMQ or Storage Queue has generated.
- IOs to the Enterprise Vault cache locations.

To isolate the IOs that MSMQ and Enterprise Vault cache cause, place the MSMQ files and the Enterprise Vault cache on fast local disks separate from the system disk and from each other. MSMQ is used during Exchange archiving and journaling but not for File System Archiving, Domino journaling and Domino mailbox archiving, PST migration, or SMTP archiving. In Enterprise Vault 11.0, the Storage Queue has replaced many of the functions of MSMQ, and it is less important to isolate the MSMQ IOs. See "Storage Queue" on page 15 for information on the location of the Storage Queue.

Blade servers generally have slow local disks that are not suitable for high IO loads.

## Network

It is rare that the network is the limiting factor on performance except when some component of the system is on a wide area network. For example, there may be a remote Exchange server or directory database. 100BASE-T is normally sufficient, but 1000Base-t is recommended.

See also the sections on network usage for the various archiving agents to calculate what bandwidth is required.

# Exchange mailbox archiving

In most cases, when you are choosing servers for email archiving, the most critical factor is the ingest rate. For email archiving, there is normally a limited window during the night to archive, and everything must be archived during this period. This window fits in between daily Exchange usage, backups, defragmentation, and all the other background activities that take place on the server.

The archiving window can be extended by archiving during the day and weekends. Archiving is slower if there is other concurrent activity.

## Archiving from Exchange 2013 or 2016

Depending on your Exchange Server environment, you may see a slowdown when ingesting from Exchange 2013 or 2016. This will vary depending on your Exchange system, but the ingest rate from a single Exchange Server could be up to 30% slower. However, if you are ingesting from two or more servers, the total slowdown should be marginal.

**Number of physical cores**

We have also noticed that some activities are slower on Exchange 2013 and 2016, but the effect varies from system to system. The activities that are slower are as follows:

- Enabling mailboxes.
- Post-processing of user mailbox items (but not journal items). This is when items in Exchange are replaced by shortcuts after the vault store partitions have been backed up.
- Synchronization of mailboxes.

These are all background activities that do not affect the ingest rate or user activity. However, these activities may take up to twice their current time.

It is difficult to be precise but, in general, the more complex the Exchange environment, the slower the ingest rate and other activities. For example, this is especially likely to be the case in an environment with many Exchange Servers at different versions in a forest that contains many domains.

## Number of physical cores

The following table shows the expected ingest rates for numbers of physical cores where the average message size including attachments is 70 KB. It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	Hourly ingest rate (70 KB)
8	60,000
16	90,000

The average size of mail messages has an effect on the throughput. The observed effect is that when the average message size is doubled, throughput is reduced by one third.

As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

# Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.
- The index area, which holds the 64-bit indexes.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available from: <http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault store partitions

The single instance model works in the following way:

- Items are shared within a vault store group. A vault store group may contain many vault stores and partitions. The partitions may be on different device types, but note that items on Centera are not shared with other devices.
- Shareable parts of a message that exceed the SIS threshold of 20 KB are shared. This includes attachments and message bodies. User information and shareable parts below the SIS threshold are not shared.

The following steps provide some rules for estimating the amount of space used. This is a simple calculation that does not take into account some of the complexities.

### To estimate the amount of space used

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 16 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. Count all messages, including those with attachments. These are the files that are not shared.
- 2 Take 60% of the size of attachments. This is the size of attachments after compression. A rule of thumb is that 20% of files have attachments, and the average attachment size is 250 KB.
- 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each attachment across the vault store group. This is the size of the DVSSP files after sharing.
- 4 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files.

The total space used is the sum of the DVS, DVSSP and DVSCC files.

**Network usage**

If items in the mailboxes have already been journaled, and the journal and mailbox partitions participate in sharing within a vault store group, the shared parts have already been stored and will not be stored again. The only additional space is that used to store the DVS files. There are some exceptions to this rule, and some extra DVSSP files may be created.

If items are archived to more than one partition, more shared parts will be stored on the partition where the archiving task runs first. Some partitions may grow faster than others.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a different sharing model. See “Archiving to Centera” on page 103.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

### Calculate the expected index size as follows

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	4%
Full	13%

The percentage for Full is less if there is little indexable content. This is often the case where there are large attachments such as MP3 or JPEG files.

## Network usage

The network is used for the following purposes while ingesting items from Exchange user mailboxes and journal mailboxes:

- Communicating with and copying data from the Exchange servers.
- Accessing the SQL database.
- Transferring archived data to the storage medium (for example, NAS or Centera).
- Retrieving archived data from the storage medium for indexing.
- Reading and writing data to and from the index storage medium.
- Background activity, such as communication with the domain controller, user monitoring, and so on.

## Communicating with and copying data from Exchange servers

Assume that the network traffic between the Exchange server and the Enterprise Vault server is equal to two times the total size of the documents transferred.

## Communicating with SQL

A rule of thumb is that 160 kilobits of total data is transferred between the SQL server and the Enterprise Vault server for every item archived. If the Directory database is on a different server, 40 kilobits of this is transferred to the Directory database. More data is transferred to and from the Directory database when empty or sparsely populated mailboxes are archived or when mailboxes have many folders.

## Writing to the vault store partition

Data is written in to the vault store partition in compressed form as DVS, DVSSP and DVSCC files. When a new sharer is added to a DVSSP file, the DVSSP file and its corresponding DVSCC file are not retrieved or rewritten. Items are read back for indexing, but where a DVSSP file has a DVSCC file, only the smaller DVSCC file is retrieved.

When Centera is the storage medium, items are not read back for single instancing. If Centera collections are enabled, indexable items may be read back from local disk rather than Centera.

## Reading and writing indexes

When an index is opened, some of the index files are transferred to memory in the Enterprise Vault server. On completion of indexing, the files are written back. Sometimes the files are written back during indexing. The amount of data transferred depends on the number of indexes opened and the size of those indexes.

For example, if only one or two items are archived from each mailbox, indexes are constantly opened and closed and a lot of data is transferred, especially if the indexes are large. It is therefore difficult to predict the traffic to the index server. A rule of thumb is that the network traffic between the index location and the Enterprise Vault server is twice the size of the original item for every item indexed.

## Summary

The following table shows the expected kilobits per second when archiving messages of 70 KB.

In accordance with normal usage, network traffic is expressed in kilobits per second rather than bytes per second.

	Hourly ingest rate	
	60,000	90,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Exchange server	19,000	28,500
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (vault store)	3,000	4,500
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (Directory)	1,350	2,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (fingerprint)	170	250
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Storage medium	7,200	11,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Index location	19,200	30,000

## Effect on the Exchange server

Most of the time, mailbox archiving is done while mailbox users are not active. There may be occasions when mailbox archiving is needed at the same time as normal Exchange usage. This could be planned to deal with a backlog of archiving or because there is a need to archive during the day.

Enterprise Vault does not take precedence over the active mailbox users. It is not possible to extract items from an Exchange server at the same rate when other mailbox users are active. This is generally good because archiving has less of an impact on active users. If you want to increase the archiving rate at the expense of users' response times or decrease the archiving rate, adjust the number of concurrent connections to the Exchange server used by the archiving process. This is a property of the archiving task.

The effect on the Exchange server can be seen in increased CPU usage and IO per second, and in longer response times.

The principal effect on Exchange Server is on the storage system. Any effect on active users while archiving is closely related to how well-specified that system is.

# Tuning parameters for Exchange mailbox and journaling

The rate at which Enterprise Vault archives items depends mainly on the specification of the system; that is, the processing power, IO capacity, and network. There are some parameters that can be changed for specific problems. It is not suggested that any of the following are used as a matter of course.

## Setting the number of connections to the Exchange server

If you want items to be extracted at a faster rate, increase the number of concurrent connections to the Exchange servers. The indications to do this are as follows:

- You are achieving less than the required archiving rate.
- The **Storage Archive** queue frequently dips to zero.

If this is the case, increase the number of concurrent connections from 5 to 10.

You can also reduce the number to minimize the impact of archiving on Exchange.

## Changing the distribution list cache size

Enterprise Vault caches distribution lists and holds up to 50 distribution lists in cache. Large organizations are likely to have more than 50 lists. To keep distribution lists in cache longer, the following registry value can be changed. This has an impact on the process's memory use.

Value	Key	Content
DLCacheSize	HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE \SOFTWARE \Wow6432Node \KVS \Enterprise Vault \Agents	DWORD value set to an integer value. Default is now 500. Recommend to remove any previous change.



# Exchange journaling

## Introduction

Exchange Journal archiving and Exchange mailbox archiving act in the same way, and for the most part the same factors that influence the performance of mailbox archiving also influence the performance of journal archiving. There are some differences that make journaling more efficient than Exchange mailbox archiving. These stem from the fact that only a small number of mailboxes are archived to only a small number of archives.

The main differences are as follows:

- Fewer connections to the Exchange server are established and dropped, and Enterprise Vault archives from one folder only. This leads to more efficient use of Exchange.
- There are fewer calls to the Directory database because permissions are checked less frequently for mailboxes and folders.
- Fewer indexes are opened.

The ingest rate may be affected when ingesting from a single Exchange 2013 or 2016 server. A suggested rate is included in the table below, but the rate may vary from one system to another. The ingest rate is less affected as the number of Exchange Journaling tasks increases.

## Number of physical cores

The following table shows the expected ingest rate for numbers of physical cores where the average message size including attachments is 70 KB. It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	Hourly ingest rate (70 KB)	Hourly ingest rate (70 KB) from single Exchange 2013 or 2016 server
8	60,000	45,000
16	90,000	45,000

The average size of mail messages influences the throughput. The observed effect is that when the average message size is doubled, throughput is reduced by one third.

As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

## Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.
- The index area.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault store partitions

The single instance model works in the following way:

- Items are shared within a vault store group. A vault store group may contain many vault stores and partitions. The partitions may be on different device types, but note that items on Centera are not shared with other devices.
- Shareable parts of a message that exceed the SIS threshold of 20 KB are shared. This includes attachments and message bodies. User information and shareable parts below the SIS threshold are not shared.

The following steps provide some rules for estimating the amount of space used. This is a simple calculation that does not take into account some of the complexities.

### To estimate the amount of space used

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 16 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. You need to count all messages, including those with attachments. These are the files that are not shared.
- 2 Take 60% of the size of attachments. This is the size of attachments after compression. A rule of thumb is that 20% of files have attachments and the average attachment size is 250 KB.
- 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each attachment across the vault store group. As a rule of thumb, each attachment is shared between 1.5 and 3 times. If there is more than one journal mailbox, there is a fan-out effect.
  - For one journal mailbox, fan-out = 1.00
  - For two journal mailboxes, fan-out = 1.75
  - For three journal mailboxes, fan-out = 2.11
  - For four journal mailboxes, fan-out = 2.3

You need to divide by this factor to get the total number of sharers across all the journal mailboxes that participate in sharing within the vault store group.

This is the size of the DVSSP files after sharing.

- 4 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files.

The total space used is the sum of the DVS, DVSSP and DVSCC files.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a different sharing model. See “Archiving to Centera” on page 103.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

**Calculate the expected index size as follows**

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	4%
Full	13%

The percentage for Full will be less if there is little indexable content. This is often the case where there are large attachments such as MP3 or JPEG files.

## Network usage

The network is used for the following purposes while ingesting items from Exchange user or journal mailboxes:

- Communicating with and copying data from the Exchange servers
- Accessing the SQL database
- Transferring archived data to the storage medium
- Retrieving archived data from the storage medium for indexing
- Reading and writing data to and from the index storage medium
- Background activity, such as communication with the domain controller, user monitoring, and so on
- Communicating with and copying data from the Exchange servers

## Communicating with the Exchange servers

Assume that the network traffic between the Exchange server and the Enterprise Vault server is equal to two times the total size of the documents transferred.

## Communicating with SQL

A rule of thumb is that 140 kilobits of total data is transferred between the SQL Server and the Enterprise Vault server for every item archived. If the Directory database is on a different server, 20 kilobits of this is transferred to the Directory database.

## Writing to the storage medium

There is a reduction in the network traffic between the Enterprise Vault server and the storage media when compared with previous versions. Data is written in compressed form as DVS, DVSSP and DVSCC files. When a new sharer is added to a DVSSP file, the DVSSP file and its corresponding DVSCC file are not retrieved or rewritten. Items are read back for indexing, but where a DVSSP file has a DVSCC file, only the smaller DVSCC file is retrieved.

When Centera is the storage medium, items are not read back for single instancing. If Centera collections are enabled, indexable items may be read back from local disk rather than Centera.

## Reading and writing indexes

When an index is opened, some of the index files are transferred to the Enterprise Vault server. On completion of indexing, the files are written back. Sometimes the files are written back during indexing. The amount of data transferred depends on the number of indexes opened and the size of those indexes. For example, if only one or two items are archived from each mailbox, indexes are constantly opened and closed and a lot of data is transferred, especially if the indexes are large. It is therefore difficult to predict the traffic to the Index server.

A rule of thumb is that the network traffic between the Index location and the Enterprise Vault server is twice the size of the original item for every item indexed.

## Summary

The following table shows the expected kbps (kilobits per second) when archiving messages of 70 KB. Figures are rounded up and provide a rough guide only.

**The impact of journal report decryption on journaling**

	Hourly ingest rate	
	60,000	90,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Exchange server	19,000	28,500
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (vault store)	3,000	4,500
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (Directory)	670	1,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (fingerprint)	170	250
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Storage medium	7,200	11,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Index location	19,200	30,000

## The impact of journal report decryption on journaling

If journal report decryption is configured on Exchange Server, two messages are attached to the journal report: the original RMS protected message, and a clear text version. A policy setting controls whether Enterprise Vault uses the clear text message or the RMS protected message as the primary message during archiving.

Enterprise Vault stores both versions of the message in the message saveset, whatever the policy setting.

Journal report decryption has an effect on the size of data archived and the rate at which items are ingested. There are two factors at work:

- The size of the journal report held in the Exchange database is doubled because two copies of the message are held.
- There is some loss of sharing either of the clear text or RMS protected version of the message within Enterprise Vault, depending on the policy.

The following table gives guidelines on the increase in space used within the vault store partitions when clear text is used as the primary or secondary message during archiving.

**Tuning parameters for Exchange mailbox and journaling**

<b>Clear text policy setting</b>	<b>Increase in partition storage space</b>
Clear text primary	+190%
Clear text secondary	+160%

When journal report decryption is enabled, the ingest rate falls by an average of 15%.

## **Tuning parameters for Exchange mailbox and journaling**

See the relevant section under Exchange mailbox archiving.



# PST migration

## Introduction

In general, the rate at which items are migrated into Enterprise Vault from PSTs is the same or faster than the rate at which items are archived from Exchange. Large scale migrations need careful planning because they can take several months or longer and may compete for resources with daily archiving and journaling. It is the planning that is all-important, and it is imperative not to be too ambitious for large scale PST migrations. You must allow for downtime and for resources to be used by other processes. It is important to ensure that PSTs are fed into the migration process fast enough.

## Choice of CPU

There are several methods to migrate PSTs. They all have the same performance characteristics when migrating, except for client driven migration.

- Wizard assisted migration.

PSTs can be migrated into user archives using the Enterprise Vault PST Migration Wizard. This is a quick way of importing a few PSTs, but it is not multi-processed. This means that it is not a suitable method for importing a large number of PSTs in a short time.

It is possible to run several wizards simultaneously, but this is awkward to set up.

- Scripted migration.

PSTs can be migrated using EVPM scripts, allowing flexibility over how each PST is processed. This automatically creates five processes when migrating.

**Location and collection**

- **Locate and migrate.**  
In this model, PSTs are located and collected together before migration. It does the work of discovering PSTs on the network before collecting them together into a central area for migration.
- **Client driven migration.**  
This is migration that the Enterprise Vault client add-in initiates. This is useful for PSTs on notebooks where the notebook is only intermittently connected to the network. This is the slowest method, migrating about 2,000 items an hour, but it has little impact on the client or server. Because of the low load on the server, there can be many clients migrating in parallel with a total throughput equal to the locate and migrate method.

The following table shows the archiving rates per hour of items of an average size of 70 KB for the different migration methods. The throughput figures are when shortcuts are inserted into Exchange mailboxes or PSTs.

Number of cores	Wizard assisted (single process)	Locate and migrate/scripted
8	15,000	60,000
16	15,000	90,000

As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

## Location and collection

In the locate and migrate method, the PSTs are located before migration. The time to locate the PSTs is not predictable and may require many domains and servers to be searched. The following table shows one example.


Number of servers	Number of PSTs located	Elapsed time
40	9000	30 minutes

By default, the PST Collector task copies 100 PSTs to a holding area. As PSTs are migrated, more PSTs are collected to keep the number of PSTs in the holding area constant. This is a continual background process during migration and ensures that there are always files ready for migration.

In Enterprise Vault 11.0.1, the PST Locator task can sample messages within each PST to identify the most suitable owner. However, the additional processing required to open each PST and analyze the content can significantly impact the throughput.

## Increasing the number of concurrent migrations

The default number of PST files to migrate concurrently is 10. You may find that the throughput rate is improved by increasing this to 15. This leads to higher resource usage.




You can also set the number of concurrent tasks in an EVPM script, as follows:

```
ConcurrentMigrations = 15
```

# Changing the sample size for PST ownership identification

The default sample size for PST ownership identification is 80%. If you are confident with the selectivity of authors within typical PSTs, you may find that you can improve the throughput rate by reducing the sample size to a smaller sample, such as 20%.



## Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.
- The index area.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault store partitions

The single instance model works in the following way:

- Items are shared within a vault store group. A vault store group may contain many vault stores and partitions. The partitions may be on different device types, but note that items on Centera are not shared with other devices.
- Shareable parts of a message that exceed the SIS threshold of 20 KB are shared. This includes attachments and message bodies. User information and shareable parts below the SIS threshold are not shared.

The following steps give some general rules for estimating the amount of space used. This is a simple calculation that does not consider some of the complexities.

You should also note that PST files may come from diverse sources with few shared parts. This must be allowed for when calculating the space used by adjusting the number of sharers. Users may have chosen to store more messages with attachments in PSTs, and you should allow for this by adjusting the percentage of messages with attachments.

### To calculate the amount of space used

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 16 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. You need to count all messages, including those with attachments. These are the files that are not shared.
- 2 Take 60% of the size of attachments. This is the size of attachments after compression. A rule of thumb is that 20% of files have attachments and the average attachment size is 250 KB.
- 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each attachment across the vault store Group. This is the size of the DVSSP files after sharing.
- 4 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a different sharing model. See “Archiving to Centera” on page 103.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

### To calculate the expected index size

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	4%
Full	12%

The percentage for Full will be less if there is little indexable content. This is often the case where there are large attachments such as MP3 or JPEG files.

# Domino mailbox archiving

There is never more than one Domino mailbox task on an Enterprise Vault server. The task does not correspond to a Domino server but to one or more provisioning groups that contain users on one or more Domino servers. The consequence is that the task on an Enterprise Vault server may archive from one or more Domino servers, and the tasks on multiple Enterprise Vault servers may archive mailboxes on a single Domino server.

The ingest rate for mail-in databases is the same as that for user mailboxes.

## Number of physical cores

The default number of threads for the Domino mailbox task is 5 and the maximum number of threads is 15. The ingest rate is roughly in proportion to the number of threads, and the archiving rate may be improved by setting this at 15.

The following table shows the expected ingest rate for numbers of physical cores when the number of threads is set to 15 and when the average message size including attachments is 70 KB. It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	Hourly ingest rate (70 KB)
8	60,000
16	90,000

The average size of mail messages influences the throughput. The observed effect is that when the average message size is doubled, throughput is reduced by one third.

## Adjusting the number of threads

As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

These throughput rates will be affected if the Domino mailboxes are not subjected to the usual maintenance tasks such as regular compaction.

More than one Enterprise Vault server can target the same Domino server. There is generally no performance penalty, and each Enterprise Vault server will achieve its target throughput for up to three Domino servers.


Domino servers are found on many different architectures. Check the Enterprise Vault [Compatibility Charts](#).

# Adjusting the number of threads

It is important to set up the optimum number of threads for Domino archiving. The factors to consider are as follows:

- What is the desired ingest rate for each Domino server?
- What is the impact of archiving on the Domino server?

The number of concurrent connections to the Domino server is set in the Administration Console, where it is a property of the Domino mailbox archiving task. The default is 10 and the maximum is 15. It is recommended that the number of threads is set to a value of 15 to get the maximum throughput.



## Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.
- The index area.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

### Disk space used by vault store partitions

Domino archiving offers considerable scope for overall space saving because identical messages that are held in separate mail files are single-instanced by Enterprise Vault.

The single-instance model works in the following way:

- Items are shared within a vault store group. A vault store group may contain many vault stores and partitions. The partitions may be on different device types, but note that items on Centera are not shared with other devices.
- Shareable parts of a message that exceed the SIS threshold of 20 KB are shared. This includes attachments and message bodies. User information and shareable parts below the SIS threshold are not shared.

The following steps provide some rules for estimating the amount of space used. This is a simple calculation that does not consider some of the complexities.

#### To estimate the amount of space used

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 16 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. Count all messages, including those with attachments. These are the files that are not shared.
- 2 Take 60% of the size of attachments. This is the size of attachments after compression. A rule of thumb is that 20% of files have attachments, and the average attachment size is 250 KB.

**Network traffic**

- 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each attachment across the vault store Group. This is the size of the DVSSP files after sharing.
- 4 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files.

The total space used is the sum of the DVS, DVSSP and DVSCC files.

If items in the mailboxes have already been journaled, and the journal and mailbox partitions participate in sharing within a vault store group, the shared parts have already been stored and will not be stored again. The only additional space is that used to store the DVS files. There are some exceptions to this rule, and some extra DVSSP files may be created.

If items are archived to more than one partition, more shared parts will be stored on the partition where the archiving task runs first. Some partitions may grow faster than others.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a completely different sharing model. See “Archiving to Centera” on page 103 for more details.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

### Calculate the expected index size as follows

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	4%
Full	13%

The percentage for Full will be less if there is little indexable content. This is often the case where there are large attachments such as MP3 or JPEG files.

## Network traffic

The total network traffic generated by archiving an item of an average size of 70 KB is as follows. The figures show the kilobits per second (kbps) for different archiving rates.

	Hourly ingest rate	
	60,000	90,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Domino server	20,500	31,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (vault store)	3,600	5,400
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (Directory)	1,500	2,200
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL Server (fingerprint)	300	450
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Storage medium	24,000	36,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Index location	20,400	30,600

## Retrieving archived items

When an archived item is read, for example by clicking on a shortcut, the request is diverted to the Enterprise Vault Domino Gateway (EVDG) where a temporary mail file is used to hold the retrieved item. The mail file is held in a subdirectory of the Domino mail folder called *EV*.

Requests to retrieve items may come from several Domino servers and, if there is a single EVDG, all retrieval requests are funneled through a single server.

To allow many concurrent users to be able to retrieve items, do the following:

- Ensure that the Domino Data folder is on a fast disk and not on the system disk. The disk should have at least 50 GB of space available for temporary Enterprise Vault mail files.
- Specify more than one EVDG.

The time taken to retrieve an item onto the user's workstation is on average from 0.5 to 1.0 seconds when there are 300 concurrent users, each reading an archived item every 30 seconds. This excludes the time to display the item and assumes that the Domino Data disk can sustain 500 IOs per second.



# Domino journaling

In most cases, when you are choosing servers for Domino journaling, the most critical factor is the ingest rate. You need to make sure that the servers can journal at the required rate during the day.

## Number of cores

The choice of CPU depends on two main factors: the ingest rate, and the file size.

For general sizing, the following ingest rates should be assumed where the average message size including attachments is 70 KB. These are rates when there is more than one Domino Journaling task. It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	Hourly ingest rate (70 KB)
8	60,000
16	90,000

The average size of mail messages affects the throughput. The observed effect is that when the average message size is doubled, throughput is reduced by one third.


As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

# Number of concurrent connections to the Domino server

It is important to set up the optimum number of connections for Domino journaling. The factors to consider are as follows:

- What is the desired ingest rate for each Domino server?
- What is the ratio of Domino servers to Enterprise Vault servers?
- What is the impact of archiving on the Domino server?
- Is the Domino server running on Windows?

The number of concurrent connections to the Domino server is set in the Administration Console, where it is a property of the Domino journaling task. It is recommended that the number of threads is set to 15 for maximum throughput.



## Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.

- The index area.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault stores

The single instance model changed in Enterprise Vault 8.0. The principal changes were as follows:

- Items are shared within a vault store group. A vault store group may contain many vault stores and partitions. The partitions may be on different device types, but note that items on Centera are not shared with other devices.
- Shareable parts of a message that exceed the SIS threshold of 20 KB are shared. This includes attachments and message bodies. User information and shareable parts below the SIS threshold are not shared.

The following steps provide some rules for estimating the amount of space used. This is a simple calculation that does not take into account some of the complexities.

### To estimate the amount of space used

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 16 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. Count all messages, including those with attachments. These are the files that are not shared.
- 2 Take 60% of the size of attachments. This is the size of attachments after compression. A rule of thumb is that 20% of files have attachments, and the average attachment size is 250 KB.
- 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each attachment across the vault store group. This is the size of the DVSSP files after sharing.
- 4 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files.

The total space used is the sum of the DVS, DVSSP and DVSCC files.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a completely different sharing model. See “Archiving to Centera” on page 103 for more details.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

Calculate the expected index size as follows.

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	4%
Full	13%

The percentage for Full will be less if there is little indexable content. This is often the case where there are large attachments such as MP3 or JPEG files.

## Network traffic

The total network traffic generated by archiving an item of an average size of 70 KB is as follows. The figures show the kilobits per second for different archiving rates.

	Hourly ingest rate	
	60,000	90,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Domino server	20,500	31,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (vault store)	3,600	5,400
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (Directory)	1,500	2,200
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL Server (fingerprint)	300	450
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Storage medium	24,000	36,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Index location	20,400	30,600

# NSF migration

## Introduction

In general, the rate at which items are migrated into Enterprise Vault from NSF files is the same or better than the rate at which items are archived from Lotus Domino. Large scale migrations need careful planning because they can take several months or longer and may compete for resources with daily archiving and journaling. It is the planning that is all important, and it is imperative not to be too ambitious for large-scale migrations. You must allow for downtime and for resources to be used by other processes.

One NSF file is migrated at a time. The migrator process has five threads by default but the migration process will be faster with more threads. This is controlled by the following registry value:

Value	Key	Content
MaxNSFNoteMigration Threads	HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE \\SOFTWARE \\Wow6432Node \\KVS \\Enterprise Vault \\Agents	DWORD value set to an integer value. Default is 5. Raise this to 15.

## Number of cores

The following table shows the archiving rates per hour assuming 15 threads and where the average message size including attachments is 70 KB. It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	Hourly ingest rate (70 KB)
8	60,000
16	90,000

The average size of mail messages has an effect on the throughput. The observed effect is that when the average message size is doubled, throughput is reduced by one third.

As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

## Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.
- The index area.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault stores

NSF migration offers considerable scope for overall space saving because identical messages that are held in separate mail files are single instanced by Enterprise Vault.

The single instance model changed in Enterprise Vault 8.0. The principal changes were as follows:

- Items are shared within a vault store group. A vault store group may contain many vault stores and partitions. The partitions may be on different device types, but note that items on Centera are not shared with other devices.
- Shareable parts of a message that exceed the SIS threshold of 20 KB are shared. This includes attachments and message bodies. User information and shareable parts below the SIS threshold are not shared.

The following steps provide some rules for estimating the amount of space used. This is a simple calculation that does not take into account some of the complexities.

**To estimate the amount of space used**

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 16 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. These are the files that are not shared.
- 2 Take 60% of the size of attachments. This is the size of attachments after compression.
- 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each attachment across the vault store group. This is the size of the DVSSP files after sharing.
- 4 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files.

The total space used is the sum of the DVS, DVSSP and DVSCC files.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a completely different sharing model. See *Archiving to Centera* on page 103 for more details.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

**Calculate the expected index size as follows**

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	4%
Full	12%

The percentage for Full will be less if there is little indexable content. This is often the case where there are large attachments such as MP3 or JPEG files.

## Network traffic

The total network traffic generated by migrating an item of an average size of 70 KB is as follows. The figures show the kilobits per second for different migration rates. The network traffic will be greater between the system holding the NSF files for migration and the Enterprise Vault server when the NSF files are not compacted.

	Hourly ingest rate	
	60,000	90,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ NSF file location	42,000	63,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (vault store)	3,600	5,400
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (directory)	1,500	2,200
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (fingerprint)	300	450
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Storage medium	24,000	36,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Index location	20,400	30,600

# SMTP archiving

Enterprise Vault 11.0.1 introduced a new SMTP agent and archiving service that replaces the previous file-based archiving solution. SMTP archiving provides a convenient method of journal archiving from any SMTP source.

For migration guidance, see the technical note [Migrating from the Legacy SMTP Archiving Solution](#).

For further guidance and sizing, see the [Best Practices White Paper for Enterprise Vault SMTP Archiving](#) white paper.

In most cases, when you are choosing servers for email archiving, the most critical factor is the ingest rate. For SMTP archiving, there is normally a continuous stream of messages, and everything must be archived as soon as possible. This stream fits in between daily usage, backups, defragmentation, and all the other background activities that take place on the server.

Archiving is slower if there is other concurrent activity.

## Number of physical cores

The following table shows the expected ingest rates with all services running on a single server for numbers of physical cores where the average message size including attachments is between 70 KB and 125 KB.

For further guidance for distributing the workload, see the [Best Practices White Paper for Enterprise Vault SMTP Archiving](#) white paper.

It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	125 KB	70 KB
8	65,000	120,000
16	125,000	165,000

As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

## Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space, and two areas of temporary space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.
- The index area, which holds the 64-bit indexes.
- The SMTP holding folder, which contains the SMTP stream.
- If enabled, the SMTP message tracking log folder.
- The Storage Queue locations, which for SMTP are recommended to be configured to retain safety copies. For guidelines on how to size the Storage Queue locations, see “Storage Queue” on page 15.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault store partitions

The single instance model works in the following way:

- Items are shared within a vault store group. A vault store group may contain many vault stores and partitions. The partitions may be on different device types, but note that items on Centera are not shared with other devices.
- Shareable parts of a message that exceed the SIS threshold of 20 KB are shared. This includes attachments and message bodies. User information and shareable parts below the SIS threshold are not shared.

The following steps provide some rules for estimating the amount of space used. This is a simple calculation that does not take into account some of the complexities.

**To estimate the amount of space used**

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 12 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. You need to count all messages, including those with attachments. These are the files that are not shared.
- 2 Take 60% of the size of attachments. This is the size of attachments after compression. A rule of thumb is that 20% of files have attachments and the average attachment size is 250 KB.
- 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each attachment across the vault store group. As a rule of thumb, each attachment is shared between 1.5 and 3 times.
- 4 If archiving SMTP journal streams from Exchange servers and there is more than one journal target, there can be a fan-out effect.
  - For one journal mailbox, fan-out = 1.00
  - For two journal mailboxes, fan-out = 1.75
  - For three journal mailboxes, fan-out = 2.11
  - For four journal mailboxes, fan-out = 2.3

You need to divide by this factor to get the total number of sharers across all the journal targets that participate in sharing within the vault store group. This is the size of the DVSSP files after sharing.

However, the use of the SMTP scalability features can eliminate this fan-out factor using a single target that can be distributed across archives.

For more information, see the [Best Practices for Deploying SMTP Archiving](#) white paper.

- 5 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files. The total space used is the sum of the DVS, DVSSP, and DVSCC files.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a completely different sharing model. See “Archiving to Centera” on page 103 for more details.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

**Calculate the expected index size as follows**

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
---------------	------------

**Calculating disk space**

Brief	2%
Full	9%

The percentage for Full is less if there is little indexable content. This is often the case where there are large attachments such as MP3 or JPEG files.

## Disk space used by holding folder

The holding folder location should be on a fast-local disk on a fault-tolerant device (RAID 1 or higher). The disk should be large enough to hold a backlog of items. The holding folder should be provisioned with sufficient capacity to accommodate 48 hours of incoming data to allow for missed backups and times when extra data is generated; if the disk becomes full, Enterprise Vault stops ingesting items. For example, if the average size of an item is 120 KB and you archive 1,000,000 items per day, the recommended holding folder capacity is  $2 \times 120 \times 1,000,000$  KB, or 230 GB.

The reliability of the disk is paramount, as this area contains the only copy of incoming items until they are added to the Storage Queue. Once the items are added to the queue the only copy is then present on the Storage Queue, and therefore it is recommended to use Storage Queue safety copies.

In testing, IOPS per 100 KB item ingested were observed to be in the range of 20 to 40. Therefore, the holding folder typically averages around 300 IOPS under normal load.

Do not locate the holding folder on any of the following:

- A network device. This is likely to slow down ingest and could more than double the network traffic that Enterprise Vault generates.
- The system drive. There will be contention for IOPS and space.
- An otherwise active drive. Other activity on the disk may slow down ingest, which may stop altogether if the available space falls below the threshold.

## Disk space used by message tracking log folder

Enabling SMTP message tracking logging does not impact the performance, although depending on throughput will rapidly consume disk capacity.

The SMTP Message Tracking log folder should be located on locally attached storage.

Provision approximately 250MB for every million messages received.

In testing, IOPS per million items ingested were observed to be in the range of 5. Therefore, the message tracking folder typically averages around 1 IOPS under normal load.

## Network usage

The network is used for the following purposes while ingesting items from SMTP sources:

- Communicating with and receiving data from the SMTP sources.
- Accessing the SQL database.
- Transferring archived data to the storage medium (for example, NAS or Centera).
- Retrieving archived data from the storage medium for indexing.
- Reading and writing data to and from the index storage medium.
- Background activity, such as communication with the domain controller, and so on.

## Communicating with and receiving data from SMTP source

Assume that the network traffic between the SMTP source and the Enterprise Vault SMTP server is equal to twice the total size of the documents transferred.

## Communicating with SQL

A rule of thumb is that 160 kilobits of total data is transferred between the SQL server and the Enterprise Vault server for every item archived. If the Directory database is on a different server, 40 kilobits of this is transferred to the Directory database. More data is transferred to and from the Directory database when empty or sparsely populated mailboxes are archived or when mailboxes have many folders.

## Writing to the vault store partition

Data is written in to the vault store partition in compressed form as DVS, DVSSP, and DVSCC files. When a new sharer is added to a DVSSP file, the DVSSP file and its corresponding DVSCC file are not retrieved or rewritten. Items are read back for indexing, but where a DVSSP file has a DVSCC file, only the smaller DVSCC file is retrieved.

When Centera is the storage medium, items are not read back for single instancing. If Centera collections are enabled, indexable items may be read back from local disk rather than Centera.

## Reading and writing indexes

When an index is opened, some of the index files are transferred to memory in the Enterprise Vault server. On completion of indexing, the files are written back. Sometimes the files are written back during indexing. The amount of data transferred depends on the number of indexes opened and the size of those indexes.

For example, if only one or two items are archived from each mailbox, indexes are constantly opened and closed and a lot of data is transferred, especially if the indexes are large. It is therefore difficult to predict the traffic to the index server. A rule of thumb is that the network traffic between the index location and the Enterprise Vault server is twice the size of the original item for every item indexed.

## Summary

The following table shows the expected kilobits per second when archiving messages of 70 KB.

In accordance with normal usage, network traffic is expressed in kilobits per second rather than bytes per second.

	Hourly ingest rate	
	60,000	100,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SMTP source	40,000	67,700
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (vault store)	3,900	5,900
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (Directory)	1,350	2,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (fingerprint)	170	250
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Storage medium	12,000	20,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Index location	19,200	30,000

## **The impact of SSL/TLS**

Enabling SSL/TLS connection to the SMTP agent can typically reduce the throughput by up to 40%.



# Skype for Business archiving

Enterprise Vault 12.2 now supports journal archiving of Microsoft Lync Server 2013 and Skype for Business server 2015 conversations. Skype for Business archiving makes use of the SMTP archiving task to archive conversations from a Skype for Business archive database in EML format.

In most cases, when you are choosing servers for Instant Messaging journal archiving, the most critical factor is the ingest rate. For Skype for Business archiving, there is normally a continuous stream of messages, and everything must be archived as soon as possible. This stream fits in between daily usage, backups, defragmentation, and all the other background activities that take place on the server.

Archiving is slower if there is other concurrent activity.

## Number of physical cores

The following table shows the expected ingest rates with Enterprise Vault 12.2 for numbers of physical cores where the average EML size including attachments across all items is 150 KB. This is based upon the Microsoft Skype for Business user model for peer to peer and conferencing messages.

It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	150 KB
8	70,000
16	105,000

As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

## Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space, and two areas of temporary space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.
- The index area, which holds the 64-bit indexes.
- The SMTP holding folder, which contains the Skype for Business EML stream.
- The Storage Queue locations, which for Skype for Business are recommended to be configured to retain safety copies. For guidelines on how to size the Storage Queue locations, see “Storage Queue” on page 15.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault store partitions

The single instance model works in the following way:

- Items are shared within a vault store group. A vault store group may contain many vault stores and partitions. The partitions may be on different device types, but note that items on Centera are not shared with other devices.
- Shareable parts of a message that exceed the SIS threshold of 20 KB are shared. This includes attachments and message bodies. User information and shareable parts below the SIS threshold are not shared.

The following steps provide some rules for estimating the amount of space used. This is a simple calculation that does not consider some of the complexities.

**To estimate the amount of space used**

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 12 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. You need to count all messages, including those with attachments. These are the files that are not shared.
  - 2 Take 60% of the size of attachments. This is the size of attachments after compression. A rule of thumb is that 20% of files have attachments and the average attachment size is 250 KB.
  - 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each attachment across the vault store group. As a rule of thumb, each attachment is shared between 1.5 and 3 times.
  - 4 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files.
- The total space used is the sum of the DVS, DVSSP, and DVSCC files.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a completely different sharing model. See *Archiving to Centera* on page 103 for more details.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

**Calculate the expected index size as follows**

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	2%
Full	3%

The percentage for Full is less if there is little indexable content. This is often the case where there are large attachments such as MP3 or JPEG files.

## Disk space used by holding folder

The holding folder location should be on a fast local disk on a fault-tolerant device (RAID 1 or higher). The disk should be large enough to hold a backlog of items. The holding folder should be provisioned with sufficient capacity to accommodate 48 hours of incoming data to allow for missed backups and times when extra data is generated; if the disk becomes full, Enterprise Vault stops ingesting items. For example, if the average size of an

**Network usage**

item is 150 KB and you archive 80,000 items per day, the recommended holding folder capacity is  $2 \times 150 \times 80,000$  KB, or 22 GB.

The reliability of the disk is paramount, as this area contains the only copy of incoming items until they are added to the Storage Queue. Once the items are added to the queue the only copy is then present on the Storage Queue, and therefore it is recommended to use Storage Queue safety copies.

In testing, IOPS per 100 KB item ingested were observed to be in the range of 20 to 40. Therefore, the holding folder typically averages around 125 IOPS under normal load.

Do not locate the holding folder on any of the following:

- A network device. This is likely to slow down ingest and could more than double the network traffic that Enterprise Vault generates.
- The system drive. There will be contention for IOPS and space.
- An otherwise active drive. Other activity on the disk may slow down ingest, which may stop altogether if the available space falls below the threshold.

## Network usage

The network is used for the following purposes while ingesting items from Skype for Business sources:

- Communicating with and receiving data from the Skype for Business server.
- Accessing the SQL database.
- Transferring archived data to the storage medium (for example, NAS or Centera).
- Retrieving archived data from the storage medium for indexing.
- Reading and writing data to and from the index storage medium.
- Background activity, such as communication with the domain controller, and so on.

## Communicating with and receiving data from the Skype for Business source

Assume that the network traffic between the Skype source and the Enterprise Vault server is equal to twice the total size of the documents transferred.

## Communicating with SQL

A rule of thumb is that 160 kilobits of total data is transferred between the SQL server and the Enterprise Vault server for every item archived. If the Directory database is on a different server, 40 kilobits of this is transferred to the Directory database. More data is transferred to and from the Directory database when empty or sparsely populated mailboxes are archived or when mailboxes have many folders.

## Writing to the vault store partition

Data is written in to the vault store partition in compressed form as DVS, DVSSP, and DVSCC files. When a new sharer is added to a DVSSP file, the DVSSP file and its corresponding DVSCC file are not retrieved or rewritten. Items are read back for indexing, but where a DVSSP file has a DVSCC file, only the smaller DVSCC file is retrieved.

When Centera is the storage medium, items are not read back for single instancing. If Centera collections are enabled, indexable items may be read back from local disk rather than Centera.

## Reading and writing indexes

When an index is opened, some of the index files are transferred to memory in the Enterprise Vault server. On completion of indexing, the files are written back. Sometimes the files are written back during indexing. The amount of data transferred depends on the number of indexes opened and the size of those indexes.

For example, if only one or two items are archived from each mailbox, indexes are constantly opened and closed and a lot of data is transferred, especially if the indexes are large. It is therefore difficult to predict the traffic to the index server. A rule of thumb is that the network traffic between the index location and the Enterprise Vault server is twice the size of the original item for every item indexed.

## Summary

The following table shows the expected kilobits per second when archiving messages of 150 KB.

In accordance with normal usage, network traffic is expressed in kilobits per second rather than bytes per second.

**Network usage**

	Hourly ingest rate	
	70,000	100,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SMTP source	46,000	67,700
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (vault store)	4,550	5,900
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (Directory)	1,350	2,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (fingerprint)	170	250
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Storage medium	12,000	20,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Index location	19,200	30,000

# File System Archiving

In most cases, when you are choosing servers for File System Archiving, the most critical factor is the ingest rate. There is normally a limited window during the night to archive, and everything must be archived during this period. This window fits in between normal usage, backups, defragmentation, and all the other background activities that take place on the file server.

File System Archiving does not impose a heavy load on the file server, but there may be some IO to the disks containing the files to be archived.

## Number of cores

The choice of CPU depends on three factors:

- The ingest rate
- The file size
- The file type

For general sizing, the following ingest rates should be assumed. It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	Hourly ingest rate (100 KB)
8	60,000
16	90,000

The average size of files has an effect on the throughput. The observed effect is that when the average file size is doubled, throughput is reduced by one third.

**Calculating disk space**

The following table shows the ingest rate for some possible scenarios. These figures apply to both NTFS and NAS volumes.

Document size	Document type	Hourly ingest rate (files)	Hourly ingest rate (MB)
70 KB 8 Core	Text	54000	3900
100 KB 8 Core	Mixed Office	60000	6000
200 KB 8 Core	Mixed Office	48000	9000
540 KB 8 Core	Big PDF	5400	2900
3000 KB 8 Core	JPEG only	13200	37200

Note the following:

- Text files require no conversion, but large text files do contain more indexable content than other file types.
- Mixed Office (Word, Excel, and PDF) requires some indexing and conversion.
- PDF files are expensive to convert. You can remove some of the expense by converting PDF files to text rather than HTML.
- You can archive a large volume of data when the files are of type JPEG or are similarly unconvertible. Conversion is omitted, and indexing is limited.

As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

## Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.
- The index area.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see

the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault stores

When an item is archived, it is first compressed and then metadata is added to it. The compression ratio depends on the file types that are archived.

### **The following gives some general rules for estimating the amount of storage needed**

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 4 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. These are the files that are not shared.
- 2 Take 50% of the size of files. This is the size of the files after compression.
- 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each file across the vault store group. This is the size of the DVSSP files after sharing. If the number of sharers is not known, assume 1.2 per file.
- 4 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files.

The total space used is the sum of the DVS, DVSSP and DVSCC files.

50% compression of the original size applies to a mix of files containing mostly Office 2003 documents. Office 2007 documents do not compress but, with non-Office files among the files, compression averages at 80% of the original size. There is no compression for purely image files.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a completely different sharing model. See *Archiving to Centera* on page 103 for more details.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

Files ingested through FSA usually use less indexing space than mail messages which have far greater word content in proportion to their size than even Office documents. Files are usually larger than mail messages, so even brief indexing uses proportionately less space.

### **To calculate the expected index size as follows for Office documents**

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

**Network usage**

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	2%
Full	6%

The percentage for Full will be less if there is little indexable content. For example, if the files are all compressed image files, even full indexing will be 2%.

If files are mainly small text messages then the space used by indexing will be comparable to that used by Exchange mailbox items.

## Network usage

The network may be used for the following purposes while ingesting items:  
Communicating with and copying data from the file servers.

- Accessing the SQL database.
- Transferring archived data to the storage medium (for example, NAS or Centera).
- Retrieving archived data from the storage medium for indexing.
- Reading and writing data to and from the Index Storage medium.
- Background activity, such as communication with the domain controller, user monitoring, and so on.

### Communicating with the file server

A rule of thumb is that the amount of network traffic between the Enterprise Vault server and the file server is the size of the data plus 30%.

### Communicating with the SQL database

A rule of thumb is to allow 20 KB for every item archived to the vault store database and 5 KB to the Directory database.

### Transfer of data to the storage medium and retrieval for indexing

The amount of data being sent and received from the storage medium depends on the single instance and compression ratios. In general, the

network traffic between Enterprise Vault server and storage medium is double that of the original data.

## Reading and writing indexes

When an index is opened, some of the index files are transferred to the Enterprise Vault server. On completion of indexing, the files are written back. Sometimes the files are written back during indexing. The amount of data transferred depends on the number and size of indexes. During file system archiving, there is a separate index for each archive point. For example, if only one or two items are archived from each archive point, indexes are constantly opened and closed, and a lot of data is transferred. It is therefore difficult to predict the traffic to the index location but, in general, the network traffic between the index location and the Enterprise Vault server is equal to twice the size of the original item for every indexed item.

## Summary

The total network traffic generated by archiving an item of an average size of 100 KB is as follows. The figures show the kilobits per second (kbps) for different archiving rates.

	Hourly ingest rate	
	60,000	90,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ File server	18,000	27,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (vault store)	3,000	4,500
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (Directory)	850	1,300
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (fingerprint)	300	450
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Storage medium	36,000	54,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Index location	29,000	44,000

## File types

There are many file types that should be excluded from archiving or included in archiving. For example, it may be only Office files that need to be archived. Again, large files such as .log files should usually be excluded from archiving to prevent the indexes from being cluttered with information that is not useful.

## **File system folder links to Enterprise Vault Search**

Enterprise Vault 12.1 and later provides the ability to create a folder shortcut URL file that links to the archived folder in Enterprise Vault Search. Enabling this feature does not impact the overall archiving rate.

# SharePoint

## Introduction

In most cases, when you are choosing servers for SharePoint, the most critical factor is the ingest rate.

## Number of cores

The choice of CPU depends on three factors:

- The ingest rate
- The file size
- The file type

For general sizing, the following ingest rates should be assumed for average document sizes of 200 KB. It is assumed that the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	Hourly ingest rate (200 KB)
8	60,000
16	90,000

The average size of mail messages has an effect on the throughput. The observed effect is that when the average message size is doubled, throughput is reduced by one third.

As a minimum, the rate at which Enterprise Vault deletes items that are ready for expiry matches the ingest rate, but it may exceed this rate.

# Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format.
- The index area.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault stores

When an item is archived, it is first compressed and then metadata is added to it. The compression ratio depends on the file types that are archived.

### **The following gives some general rules for estimating the amount of storage needed**

- 1 Multiply the number of items to be archived by 4 KB to get the total size of the DVS files. These are the files that are not shared.
- 2 Take 50% of the size of files. This is the size of files after compression.
- 3 Divide by the number of sharers of each file across the vault store group. This is the size of the DVSSP files after sharing. If the number of sharers is not known, assume 1.2 per message.
- 4 Take 5% of the size of DVSSP files. This is the size of the DVSCC files.

50% compression applies to a mix of files containing mostly Office 2003 documents. Office 2007 documents do not compress but, with non-Office files among the files, compression will average at 80% of the original size. There is no compression for purely image files.

The total space used is the sum of the DVS, DVSSP and DVSCC files.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a completely different sharing model. See *Archiving to Centera* on page 103 for more details.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

Files ingested through SharePoint usually use less indexing space than mail messages, which have far greater word content in proportion to their size than even Office documents. Files are usually larger than mail messages, so even brief indexing uses proportionately less space.

### To calculate the expected index size as follows for Office documents

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	2%
Full	6%

The percentage for Full will be less if there is little indexable content. For example, if the files are all compressed image files, even full indexing will be 2%.

If files are mainly small text messages then the space used by indexing will be comparable to that used by Exchange mailbox items.

## Network traffic

The table below shows the total network traffic generated by archiving an item of an average size of 100 KB. The figures show the kilobits per second (kbps) for different archiving rates.

	Hourly ingest rate	
	60,000	90,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SharePoint server	18,000	27,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (vault store)	3,000	4,500
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (Directory)	850	1,300
Enterprise Vault server ↔ SQL server (fingerprint)	300	450
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Storage medium	36,000	54,000

	Hourly ingest rate	
	60,000	90,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Index location	29,000	44,000

## Retrieving items

Enterprise Vault 8.0 SP3 introduced seamless shortcuts. In previous releases, items retrieved by opening shortcuts were fetched directly from the Enterprise Vault server. Now they are retrieved through the SharePoint server. Under normal conditions, retrievals take less than one second on average, depending on the size of the item. However, sites that upgrade to 8.0 SP3 or later will see an increase in network traffic to and from the SharePoint server.

# Enterprise Vault Extensions

## Introduction

The Enterprise Vault Extensions feature was introduced in Enterprise Vault 10.0.4. This feature enables partners to develop new archiving applications to extend the types of source items that Enterprise Vault can archive. For example, these items can include instant messages, voice messages, and large images with OCR. Third-party archiving applications that ingest into Enterprise Vault are visible in the Enterprise Vault Administration Console, and their contribution to archived data is reportable through the Enterprise Vault reporting functionality.

Developers are free to develop third-party archiving applications in any way that they want. Such applications may have their own performance characteristics that affect resource usage and the ingest rates into vault stores. Therefore, Veritas can only give general guidance on performance.

A third-party archiving application can run on an Enterprise Vault server or a dedicated server, so a number of factors affect the ingest rate. These include:

- The resource usage of the third-party archiving application.
- The hardware resources of the server that hosts the third-party agent.
- The nature and location of the storage that holds the source data to be ingested.

There are many types of data sources that you can ingest through Enterprise Vault Extensions, so it is not possible or relevant to provide accurate, expected ingest rates. It is reasonable to assume that a third-party archiving application has similar ingest rates and sizing requirements to those of the established archiving agents. For example, an application that ingests mail items from a mail system should have similar ingest rates to those for Exchange and Domino mailbox archiving. Similarly, an application that ingests files (or items of a similar size to files) should have an ingest rate that is similar to that of File System Archiving.

**Number of cores**

There are many possible item types that this chapter does not cover. These range from very small instant messages to very large medical images. Some additional information is included below to help in sizing small items.

## Number of cores

The choice of CPU depends on three factors:

- The ingest rate
- The file sizes
- The file types

The table below shows the ingest rates for small text files. It assumes the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the Enterprise Vault server; they are not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

Number of cores	Hourly ingest rate (< 10 KB)
8	120,000
16	180,000

## Calculating disk space

This section deals with the space used and how to size for it. When archiving, Enterprise Vault uses three areas of permanent space:

- The vault store partition, which is used to hold the DVS (saveset), DVSSP (saveset shared part) and DVSCC (saveset converted content) files. If collections are enabled, they are stored as CAB files. If Centera is the storage medium, it stores the files in its own format. When Enterprise Vault ingests small files, it creates DVS files only.
- The index area.
- The SQL databases that are used to hold the Directory, vault store, and fingerprint databases. For guidelines on how to size the databases, see the Enterprise Vault *SQL Best Practices Guide*, which is available at the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100012617>

## Disk space used by vault stores

When Enterprise Vault archives an item, it first compresses the item and then adds metadata to it. The compression ratio depends on the type of file. For example, the compression ratio for small text files is lower than that for large, non-text files. In addition, small items do not have components that are eligible for sharing.

### To estimate the amount of required storage

- Multiply the number of items to be archived by 10 KB (or by 12 KB if you need to take account of the default allocation unit size on NTFS).

The result is the total size of the DVS files.

---

**Note:** These recommendations do not apply to Centera, which uses a completely different sharing model. See “Archiving to Centera” on page 103 for more details.

---

## Disk space used by indexes

Small text files create comparatively large indexes. Pure text data generates more indexable content than Office documents or image files, and the metadata is a higher proportion of the index size.

### To calculate the expected index size

- 1 Take the size of the original data.
- 2 Take a percentage of this according to the indexing type.

Indexing type	Percentage
Brief	25%
Full	100%



# Archiving to Centera

EMC Centera devices offer a reliable means of archiving data with the added advantage that where replica devices are involved, no backups of archived data are necessary. Replication is a continuous process that secures data on a separate Centera performing a function equivalent to backup. This allows the archiving window to be extended. Indexes and SQL databases are not held on Centera and still require backups. In some cases, data held on Centera is both replicated and backed up. The performance of Centera has improved with each generation. This section is based on a 16-node Gen-4 Centera with four access nodes.

## Archiving with and without Centera collections

Enterprise Vault offers two methods of storing items in Centera: with collections and without collections. Centera collections are completely different from NTFS collections that can be used when storing to NTFS storage. When items are stored in Centera collections, they are first stored in a temporary area and then collected into a single object and stored on Centera. A collection is up to 100 items or 10 MB of data. Collections are recommended because they result in fewer objects on the Centera. This has several advantages:

- No fall-off in performance as the Centera gets fuller
- Faster replication
- Faster deletion of expired items
- Faster self-healing in the event of a failed disk

Items for collection are stored on a local disk before collection. This needs to be a fast disk but not large.

Retrieval of items in collections is very fast because only the item is retrieved from Centera and not the whole collection.

As the performance of Centera improves, many of these factors will have less impact, and archiving without collections is a viable solution. Customers should consult with Veritas or EMC before archiving on a Centera without collections.

## Centera sharing model

The way that items are shared or single instanced with Centera differs from other devices. On Centera, attachments are detached from the message and stored in Centera, where Centera identifies them as candidates for sharing. The exact rules are as follows:

- A saveset with an uncompressed size of 100 KB is stored unshared
- A saveset with a compressed size of over 100 KB is examined for “streams”(indexable items or XML streams such as recipient lists) and attachments
- If there are no streams or attachments, the saveset is stored unshared
- If there are no streams or attachments with an uncompressed size of over 50 KB, the saveset is stored unshared
- Any stream or attachment with an uncompressed size of over 50 KB is stored separately and is eligible for sharing

This model had the advantage that attachments are shared even if they are attached to different messages or archived separately by File System Archiving. It also means that there is sharing across vault stores. Small messages are not shared. However, even though small messages make up the bulk of messages, messages with large shareable attachments usually make up the bulk of the size. For example, a large report might be sent or forwarded to all members of a company. Just one copy of this report is held on Centera, although there will be many copies held on the Exchange Stores or Lotus mail files in the company.

## Choice of Enterprise Vault server

There is no substantial difference in performance when archiving to a Centera when compared with other storage media. Using collections does add a small CPU overhead as the collection is an extra process. Refer to the tables for each archiving type for the throughput rate. Likewise, there is little difference in retrieval times when individuals view items or perform bulk retrieval operations.

Enterprise Vault checks for replication every 60 minutes. Therefore, shortly after an archiving run finishes, the system is fully replicated onto a local

replica Centera, and items are turned into shortcuts in users' mailboxes. Replication to a remote Centera will depend on the speed of the network link.

## Centera settings

Writes to the Centera are slightly slower than to other devices, but many IOs can happen in parallel. When archiving with collections, this is not relevant because it is only collections that are written to Centera and not individual items. However, when archiving to Centera without collections, optimum performance is reached when the number of processes is increased. For example:

<b>Number of storage archive processes</b>	<b>Number of PST migrators</b>
10	20

## Centera limits

Depending on the business needs, a single Centera may act as storage for many Enterprise Vault systems. The measured maximums are on a 16-node Gen-4 Centera with four access nodes are as follows:

<b>Hourly ingest rate (inc. replication) (100 KB messages)</b>	<b>Hourly retrieval rate (with collections)</b>
350,000 (from seven Enterprise Vault servers)	1,000,000 (from eight Enterprise Vault servers)

The ingest rate was limited by the number of Enterprise Vault servers available for testing. The absolute maximum is higher than this, but it is not possible to speculate what this may be. When retrieving 1,000,000 items an hour, the Centera access nodes were fully loaded.

Storage nodes may act as access nodes, and access nodes as storage nodes. There is no need to waste space by assigning nodes exclusively as access nodes, and the maximum ingest rate and retrieval rate can be increased by converting storage nodes to access nodes. There is no loss of storage capacity in doing this, but there is a cost in creating the extra connections.

## Self-healing

If a disk or node fails on a Centera, the Centera goes into a self-healing state and recovers the data. The self-healing process is intensive on resources on Centera, but it does not take precedence over other activity. An example is if an index is normally rebuilt at a rate of 100,000 items an hour, while self-healing is in progress, this rate reduces to 60,000 items an hour.

## NTFS to Centera migration

Items can be migrated to Centera at a high rate. The following table shows a typical example for an Enterprise Vault server with the recommended configuration.

Metric	Hourly rate
Saveset files migrated per hour	130,000
GB (original size) migrated per hour	9

# Archiving to a storage device through the Storage Streamer API

Enterprise Vault supports archiving to a range of different storage devices. Except for the EMC Centera, all of these devices have been accessed through a CIFS/SMB interface.

Enterprise Vault 9.0 introduced a new feature that allows it to use third-party storage devices that are not compatible with CIFS. For example, this is the case with content-addressable storage devices. This is achieved by adding support for a third interface; in addition to CIFS/SMB and Centera, Enterprise Vault also supports devices that implement the Enterprise Vault Storage Streamer API.

## Choice of Enterprise Vault server

On devices tested so far, there is no substantial difference in performance between archiving to a device through the Storage Streamer API and archiving to CIFS/SMB and Centera storage devices. Refer to the tables for each archiving type for the throughput rate.



# Data Classification Services

Data Classification Services (DCS) uses various components of Veritas Enterprise Vault and Symantec Data Loss Prevention to analyze Microsoft Exchange email content and help to determine the archiving and retention strategy for all messages.

DCS consists of the following components:

- An Oracle database
- An Enforce server
- One or more Data Classification servers

## Sizing a system

A DCS system normally consists of separate Enforce/Oracle servers and DCS servers. These servers may be set up as physical or virtual servers.

Enforce and Oracle may be installed on the same server. This server should have at least 4 cores and 8 GB of memory. See the Enterprise Vault *Data Classification Services Implementation Guide* which is available on the Veritas Support website:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100040605>

For all but the smallest environments, it is recommended that the DCS server is installed on a separate server from the Enforce/Oracle server and that there is more than one DCS server to provide resilience. See the DCS *Implementation Guide* for server sizing guidelines for disk and memory requirements.

The number of items that a DCS server can process depends on two factors:

- The total number of rules in enabled policies
- The total number of cores

The following table shows the total number of items that will be processed per hour by the DCS servers with cores running at 2 GHz. It is assumed that

**Effect on Enterprise Vault servers**

the system is running on VMware and that CPU and memory resources are dedicated (reserved) to the DCS server, and not shared with other virtual machines on the host. A 10% to 20% higher ingest rate may be achieved on physical servers.

	Number of rules					
	0	15	30	100	200	300
4 cores	210,000	95,000	65,000	25,000	15,000	10,000
8 cores	420,000	195,000	125,000	50,000	25,000	15,000
12 cores	635,000	290,000	190,000	70,000	40,000	25,000
16 cores	845,000	390,000	255,000	95,000	50,000	35,000

The number of items processed is the number of items that are submitted for classification.

Note the following:

- The processing rates are not affected by the number of items that match a rule or rules.
- The processing rates are not affected by the action taken whether it is include/exclude from review, to change the retention category or “Do not Archive”. The only exception is when the action is “Do not Archive” and the item is moved to the Deleted item folder. The number of items that can be processed is reduced by a maximum of 10%, depending on how many items match the rule.
- The processing cores may be distributed among one or more DCS servers. More than one DCS server is recommended for resilience. The load is distributed evenly among all DCS servers. For example one 8-core server is equivalent to two 4-core servers. All DCS servers should be of the same specification.

## Effect on Enterprise Vault servers

Extra processing is required on the Enterprise Vault Servers when Data Classification is enabled. Ingest rates are reduced by 30%.

It may be beneficial to increase the threads for each Journal task to 10.

## Network usage

There will be network traffic between the DCS servers and the Enterprise Vault servers. A rule of thumb is that the network traffic is twice the size of the original item.

The total network traffic generated by archiving an item of an average size of 70 KB is as follows. The figures show the kilobits per second (kbps) for different archiving rates.

	Hourly ingest rate	
	50,000	80,000
Enterprise Vault server ↔ Data Classification Server	17,000	27,000



# User activity

An important part of Enterprise Vault is the user experience when interacting with archived items, for example when searching for and downloading the items. The user cannot expect the same response times as in the native application, such as Exchange. One goal of Enterprise Vault is to facilitate the use of cheaper media to store archived items, and retrieval from such media is inevitably slower. On the other hand, the user is entitled to expect a reasonable response time, and it is right to set expectations when response times are slower.

## Effect of metadata store on user response times

This section considers the effect of the metadata store (MDS) technology on the Enterprise Vault Search application. This application is the replacement for three legacy applications (Archive Explorer, Browser Search, and Integrated Search), although they are still available for use if required.

Enterprise Vault Search offers some performance advantages over the legacy search applications, even when it is used without MDS. However, you can achieve better response times by enabling MDS, especially when the system is under load.


The charts below compare the response times for various Enterprise Vault Search activities on a system where MDS is enabled with one where it is disabled. Your own response times may vary. There is one chart for light user loads and another for heavy user loads, where the two types of users are as follows:

**Effect of metadata store on user response times**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Light user</b>	<b>Heavy user</b>
Login	Displays the folder structure.	Displays the folder structure.
Export	5–100 items per day (23 items per export).	50–500 items per day (12 items per export).
Search	5–100 searches per day.	50–500 searches per day.
Restore	5–100 items per day (FSA and Exchange).	50–500 items per day (FSA and Exchange).
Download	5–200 items per day.	50–500 items per day.
View	5–200 items per day.	50–500 items per day.


**Effect of metadata store on user response times**

Light user load: effect of MDS on Enterprise Vault Search response times (2500 users)



**Effect of metadata store on user response times**

Heavy user load: effect of MDS on Enterprise Vault Search response times (2500 users)



# Virtual Vault

## Overview

The Virtual Vault functionality was introduced in Enterprise Vault 8.0 SP3. Virtual Vault integrates a view of the Vault Cache into the Outlook Navigation Pane. To users, a Virtual Vault looks and behaves in the same way as a mailbox or a personal folder. For example, users can open archived items and drag and drop items to and from the Virtual Vault.

The content strategies from which you can choose are as follows:

Content strategy	Description
Do not store any items in cache	Item headers are synchronized to Vault Cache, but the content of archived items is not stored in Vault Cache. If a user who is online opens an item in Virtual Vault, or selects an item when the Reading Pane is open, Enterprise Vault immediately retrieves the content from the online archive.
Store all items	This is the default option. Item headers are synchronized to Vault Cache, and the content of archived items is stored in Vault Cache.
Store only items that user opens	Item headers are synchronized to Vault Cache. If a user who is online opens an item in Virtual Vault, or selects an item when the Reading Pane is open, Enterprise Vault immediately retrieves the content from the online archive. The content of each item that a user opens in Virtual Vault is stored in Vault Cache.

This chapter discusses the following content strategies:

- With-content Cache. This covers “Store All Items”.

**Initial synchronization**

- Contentless Cache. This covers “Do not store any items in cache” and, for all practical purposes, “Store only items that user opens”.

The chapter also deals with these two phases:

- Initial synchronization - the first time users' Vault Caches are enabled.
- Incremental synchronization - the day-to-day synchronization of users' Vault Cache with their archives.

## Initial synchronization

When an Enterprise Vault system is upgraded to a version that supports Virtual Vault or Virtual Vault is enabled on existing system, users' Vault Caches are synchronized with their archives.

The following table shows the expected times to complete synchronization for users with an average of 12,000 archived items of average size 70 KB on a four-core server.

	<b>100 users</b>	<b>250 users</b>	<b>1,000 users</b>	<b>3,000 users</b>
VC initial sync (contentless)	10 min	30 min	2 hrs	6 hrs
VC initial sync (with-content)	120 min	300 min	20 hrs	60 hrs

The following table shows the expected times to complete synchronization for users with an average of 200,000 archived items.

	<b>1,000 users</b>	<b>3,000 users</b>
VC initial sync (contentless)	31 hrs	92 hrs
VC initial sync (with-content)	33 days	100 days

When users are enabled for with-content Cache, the metadata that allows them to see and work in their Virtual Vault is downloaded first. Then the contents are downloaded with the most recent items first. These users can use their Virtual Vaults before synchronization has completed.

These times are elapsed times and do not take into account other activity on the servers or network delays. In most cases, it is not practical or necessary to enable all users for with-content cache. You can take several different approaches, as follows:

- Initially enable all users for contentless cache. This allows all users to use Virtual Vault as soon as possible.
- Enable with-content cache for only those users who do not always have access to their online archives. Typically, these would be remote users or traveling users who work away from the office. However, for better performance, the initial synchronization should be done when the users have access to their archive over a fast network.
- Limit the size or time range of items in the with-content cache. Users typically require access to the most recent items rather than their entire archives.
- Prioritize users and enable them in batches.

## Incremental synchronization

Once the initial synchronization has completed, Virtual Vaults are updated every day while users are logged into their mail clients. Users may also start synchronization manually.

The following table shows the time taken to perform an incremental synchronization for the following daily actions:

- Download an average of 50 items.
- Upload an average of 10 items into the archive (items copied manually into Virtual Vault).
- Manually delete an average of 10 archived items using Virtual Vault.
- Create an average of two folders in the archive manually created in Virtual Vault.

	<b>100 users</b>	<b>250 users</b>	<b>1,000 users</b>	<b>3,000 users</b>
VC incremental sync - Virtual Vault client updates and item download (contentless)	4 min	10 min	40 min	2 hr
VC incremental sync - Virtual Vault client updates and item download (with-content)	4 min	16 min	1 hr	3 hr

The time for the incremental synchronization with-content cache is close to the contentless cache synchronization when the items have been preemptively cached. This is the normal case.

**Incremental synchronization**

- See the *Virtual Vault Best Practices Guide* for more information on how to set up Virtual Vault. The guide is available from the following location:

<http://www.veritas.com/docs/100022180>

# Backtrace

Backtrace lets you obtain log files of tracing information from Enterprise Vault processes in which the logging starts before a problem occurs. Unlike the DTrace utility log files, a Backtrace log contains tracing information from a single process.

Backtrace retains tracing information in memory until a previously defined trigger event occurs. It then writes a limited amount of DTrace information to a log file. This file contains DTrace information from before and after the trigger event occurred. This is useful when submitting support cases and diagnosing problems.

The impact of Backtrace on performance has not been tested on all areas of the product, but it can have a noticeable impact on some areas. Therefore, it should not be enabled automatically, and, if it is enabled, you should check that you are still getting the desired throughput.

Turning on Backtrace for the following has little impact on throughput and performance provided that Enterprise Vault is running on the recommended specification and the CPU on the Enterprise Vault server is less than 80%:

- Exchange mailbox archiving
- Exchange journaling
- Domino mailbox archiving
- Domino journaling

Turning on Backtrace for the following has a noticeable impact but may be done if you can accept a drop in throughput or increase in CPU usage. In these cases, a drop in throughput of 20% to 30% is expected:

- File System Archiving
- SharePoint archiving

Backtrace does not have a noticeable effect on the search and acceptance areas of Discovery Accelerator, but it does affect the following:

- Export rates are reduced by 30%.
- Analytic throughput rates are reduced by 50%.

So, it is not advisable to use Backtrace if you plan to enable analytics on any cases.

# Move Archive

## Overview

The Move Archive feature was introduced in Enterprise Vault 8.0 SP4. It allows the movement of one or more archives from one vault store to another.

## Setting Move Archive parameters

By default, the Move Archive task runs at a low priority to prevent interference with other Enterprise Vault activity. If you want to increase the rate at which items are moved, you can adjust the settings on the Move Archive task's **Task Properties: Settings** tab. You can increase the following:

- Priority of the Move Archive operations in relation to other processes
- Number of concurrent move operations
- Number of threads per move operation

The total number of threads (that is, the number of concurrent move operations multiplied by the number of threads per move operation) should not exceed 20. By increasing the number of concurrent move operations, you allow more archives to be moved in parallel. By increasing the number of threads per move operation, you allow each archive to be moved more quickly.

Normally, you would want a balance between the two, such as five concurrent move operations and four threads per move operation. This gives more archives a chance to complete their moves in a reasonable time without being blocked by one or two larger archives.

The effect of raising the priority and increasing the total number of threads is that the CPU and other resource usage on the Enterprise Vault servers may reach a high level. This will have an effect on other Enterprise Vault activity, such as scheduled archiving or daily journaling.

## Moving small number of users

The most common use of Move Archive is to move one user, or a few users, between vault stores, possibly across servers or sites. In this situation, the Enterprise Vault servers typically absorb the resources that are used.

## Moving large number of users

Moving a large number of users requires time and planning. The process of moving an archive is equivalent to the original ingest, and it necessitates all the steps of ingest, shortcut update, and backup. In addition, the new archive must be verified and the original archive deleted. The extra steps taken when moving an archive (most notably the verification phase), mean that the total time to move an archive is likely to be longer than the original ingest.

### These are the suggested steps to take to prepare for Move Archive

- 1 Select a schedule for Move Archive that is different from the Mailbox archiving task schedule. It is suggested that the schedule is set during the day. The Move Archive process does not affect the users' use of Exchange or Lotus Notes, but it may affect interactions with Enterprise Vault when searching for or retrieving items.
- 2 Calculate the total time required to move the archives. To do this, consider the number of items that you want to move, and not the number of users.

The following table shows how much faster or slower the copy phase of the Move Archive task is than the original ingest process. It is assumed that you have raised the priority of the Move Archive process and increased the number of processes/threads.

Move type	Comparison with original ingest
Move to partition in same vault store group on different server	45% faster
Move to partition in different vault store group on different server	30% faster
Move to partition in same vault store group on same server	40% faster
Move to partition on different site	30% faster
Move from NTFS collection	25% slower

Move type	Comparison with original ingest
Move to/from Centera partition	As original archive

In most cases, the copy phase of the Move Archive process is faster than the original archive process. This is because the processing resources that the agents for Exchange or Domino archiving use are released. If the speed of the original archive is limited by a resource other than CPU, the Move Archive rate converges on the original archive rate.

- 3 Divide the users into blocks and prioritize those that you want to move first. The users in each block should contain the number of items that can be moved in one Move Archive session, as calculated above. When you have moved the first set of archives, you may want to adjust the number of users to be moved in a single block.
- 4 Add the users and allow Move Archive to take place during the scheduled period.
- 5 Calculate the Move Archive rate.
- 6 Allow the daily scheduled Archiving task to complete and update the shortcuts. The time to update shortcuts is trivial and should be absorbed into the Archiving task. Only moves within a site to new users will allow shortcuts to be updated.
- 7 Make a backup copy of the newly moved items. If you have moved them to a partition that is regularly backed up then this will happen automatically, but you need to allow extra time for the process to complete. Some device types have almost immediate backup or replication, and this stage will be completed quickly.
- 8 After items have been identified as backed up, database entries are removed from the relevant tables. To some extent, this extends the time for the StorageFileWatch process to run, but normally by a few minutes only.
- 9 After files have been secured, all moved items are verified to check that they have not been corrupted or altered during the move. The time taken to verify items is normally 50% of the copy rate.
- 10 The archives that have been moved may be deleted from the source destination. This step is accomplished by deleting the entries marked **Completed** in the Move Archive status list. The source archives are deleted during the next Storage Expiry run. This is normally a scheduled task. The existence of the old archives does not interfere with the use of

the new archives, but if it is required to delete them quickly then you may have to extend the Expiry schedules.

## General notes

- **Network.** If you copy between sites or to a different vault store sharing group on a different server, the data sent across the network is the same size as the originally archived data. This may be a factor when moving archives between remote sites over a slow link.
- **Location of moved data.** If you copy archives within a vault store sharing group, the sharable parts of the moved items are not moved. If their original storage location was the source partition, they remain there.

Every item has a part that is not shared, and this is recreated on the destination partition. If items are moved to a different sharing group, new sharable parts are created on the destination partition unless a copy already exists.

When the archives are deleted from the source partition, shared parts that have no references are deleted. The result is that, once all stages of the move have completed, there may not be significant space reduction on the source partition or an increase in space on the destination server.

- **Archiving source.** The archiving source (journal, archive, Exchange, Domino) makes no direct difference to the Move Archive rate.
- **Resources used.** When you move archives between servers, you use resources on both the source and destination servers. The Move Archive process disrupts other activity on these servers, such as regular ingest. The limiting resource on Move Archive is CPU on both servers. There may be other factors such as network speed or disk speed that may also limit the transfer rate. These factors vary from site to site and cannot be predicted.
- **Move rates.** If you move archives between sites or differently specified systems, use the lowest specified system when you calculate the move rate.

# Combined activity

Enterprise Vault may be running several activities simultaneously. For example all these activities may be concurrent:

- User activity including:
  - Searching
  - Downloading or retrieving archived items
  - Uploading items
- Virtual Vault synchronization
- Management tasks including:
  - Move Archive
  - Re-indexing
  - Export Archive
  - PST Migration
- Scheduled tasks including:
  - Mailbox archiving
  - Journal archiving,

In this situation, user activities are least impacted with response times minimally affected.

Virtual Vault incremental synchronization should also complete within the required time frame.

Any management tasks or scheduled tasks will run slowly. With concurrent user activities, management tasks and scheduled tasks are severely impacted:

- Management tasks are reduced to 30% of their normal processing speed
- Scheduled tasks are reduced to 10% of their normal processing speed

Careful attention therefore should be paid to the scheduling of management tasks. They may be scheduled concurrently with user activity but will run

**General notes**

slower. This may be what is required and speed will increase as user activity decreases. However, user activities must be taken into account when planning large-scale migrations or re-indexing.

Scheduled tasks may run at the same time but it is unlikely that the required archiving rates will be achieved. In particular it is worth considering locating journal archiving on a separate server from mailbox archiving so that daytime journal archiving does not conflict with user activity.

It should be noted that the case here used is where users are actively using Enterprise Vault. In many sites the use of Enterprise Vault by users may be much lighter and the effect on other activities much smaller.

# Rules of thumb for IOPS

Because of the range of activities within Enterprise Vault, it is difficult to give figures for IOPS that will meet all situations. These figures are given as guidelines but there are many factors that can influence the IOPS.

Generally the peak time for IOPS is during ingest and if the system is sized to handle the ingest load it should also be able to handle other tasks and daily user activity.

The following figures are for an Enterprise Vault server ingesting items from Exchange mailboxes with 4000 users and with an ingest rate of 50,000 items an hour. The figures should be multiplied up for larger systems

Component	Estimated typical sustained IOPS
SQL Server	Data/Log
Directory	10 to 100 /10 to 100
Vault store	300/150
Fingerprint	25 /20
Enterprise Vault server	
Index server	500 1000+ if also using DA (see DA best practice guide)
Vault store partition	450

Storage systems should be specified for at least twice these values to cover for peaks and unexpected usage.



# Backup of indexes

Because of the change in the indexing engine in Enterprise Vault 10.0, there may be changes in the time to back up indexes. This section looks at some of the considerations when performing an incremental backup of indexes.

- The amount of data that will be backed up in an incremental backup is much larger than the amount of data indexed. This is because individual items are not backed up but the containing files which will of course change even after the addition of a single item.
- More data per item is backed up for user indexes than for journal indexes. This is because, up to a point, the addition of a few items will have the same effect as the addition of more items; a larger file will be changed and will be marked for back up.
- There is a great variation in the amount of data that requires backup in the journal indexes. This is because some journal indexes have reached a point when all the index files need consolidating where others are consolidating only the smaller files.

Given the huge variation in the types and sizes of archives backed up, the following is a rough “rule of thumb” for the size of data that needs backing up in an incremental backup. The actual speed of the backup depends on many factors such as the backup destination and network speeds.

For indexes where a few items are added during each archiving session such as those for users, the size of the data to be backed up will be 20 times the size of data added to the index.

For indexes where a large number of items are added during each archiving session such as those for journals, the size of the data to be backed up will be 10 times the size of data added to the index.

The size of data added to the index will normally be 12% of the original size of the data where full indexing is enabled.



# Document conversion

A proportion of the CPU power is used to convert documents to HTML for indexing. This section explains how processor power can be saved and throughput improved by changing the values of advanced site settings that control conversion. Changes should be made with care.

It should be noted that all ingest rates in the document are based on a system with the default settings.

## IFilters and Optical Character Recognition of image files

Enterprise Vault 12 introduces support for Windows IFilters and indexing text content within certain image files using Optical Character Recognition (OCR), including GIF, JPG/JPEG, PNG, and TIF/TIFF.

Enterprise Vault 12.2 extends the OCR support to processing images embedded within documents, and 12.3 provides additional granularity to control which types of documents are processed for embedded images.

Enterprise Vault works in conjunction with the Windows IFilter to perform the OCR processing of image files and retrieve all text content for indexing.

This additional processing can be processor intensive, so it may potentially impact the archiving and indexing throughput.

With embedded image processing disabled, for typical distribution of office type data, the impact is usually negligible. However, with embedded image OCR processing enabled the archiving throughput can be reduced significantly, typically around 20% - 50%.

If image OCR processing is not required for certain image types, you can save processing power by removing the image file types from conversion.

**IFilters and Optical Character Recognition of image files**

<b>Site Advanced content conversion setting name</b>	<b>Value</b>
File types for OCR conversion	String value containing list of file types. The list format is: <code>. filetype[. filetype]</code> Each file type must be prefixed by a period. Default: .GIF.JPG.JPEG.PNG.TIF.TIFF Only the above file types are currently supported.

If OCR processing of embedded images is not required, you can increase archiving throughput and save processing power by disabling embedded image processing.

<b>Site Advanced content conversion setting name</b>	<b>Value</b>
OCR Conversion of embedded images	Off (default).

If the embedded image OCR processing is only required for certain document types, you can save processing power by specifying individual file types for embedded image processing.

<b>Site Advanced content conversion setting name</b>	<b>Value</b>
File types for OCR conversion of embedded images	String value containing list of file types. The list format is: <code>. filetype[. filetype]</code> Each file type must be prefixed by a period. Default: * This is a list of the container documents that will be processed for embedded images.

Alternatively, processing of scanned pages within PDF documents can be enabled without enabling the full embedded image OCR processing by disabling embedded image processing as above, and enabling PDF scanned pages as below.

Site Advanced content conversion setting name	Value
OCR Conversion of scanned pages	On (default is Off).

## Converting to HTML or text

By default, items are converted to HTML. This provides text suitable for indexing and allows a formatted display when items are read in HTML. The original item is also stored, and this is what is displayed when downloading an item — for example, by opening a shortcut or viewing an item from the integrated browser.

It is more CPU-intensive to convert items to HTML than to text, so you can minimize CPU usage by converting some or all items to text. However, the general formatting will be lost for previewing items in Enterprise Vault Search. There are Advanced Site Settings that you can change to force this.

Prior to Enterprise Vault 11.0.1, Excel files were converted to text by default because of the expense of converting. With the 64-bit converters in Enterprise Vault 11.0.1 and later, Excel files are converted to HTML by default.

Site Advanced content conversion setting name	Value
File types converted to text	String value containing list of file types. The list format is: <code>.filetype[.filetype]</code> Each file type must be prefixed by a period. For example: <code>.DOC.XLS.XLSX.XSLM</code> All file types can be converted to text by using the * wildcard character.

## Excluding files from conversion

To be indexed, items that are not already text must be converted to text or HTML. Some files are excluded from conversion because they contain no textual content, such as audio or video files.

**Conversion timeout**

Unknown file types are opened and the first few characters are checked for textual content. Some files may look like text files because they contain valid characters, but they should not be treated as such and should be specifically excluded. One consequence of not excluding them is that the index may become full of meaningless words.

<b>Site Advanced content conversion setting name</b>	<b>Value</b>
File types excluded from conversion	String value containing list of file types. The list format is:  <code>. filetype[. filetype]</code>  Prefix each file type with a period. For example:  <code>. WAV . WMA</code>

## Conversion timeout

Large and complex items can take a long time to convert and slow down the whole system during conversion. To prevent such conversions from running forever and preventing other work, there is a conversion timeout mechanism. All conversions are abandoned after 10 minutes. Items are normally converted in a fraction of a second, but if conversions are constantly being abandoned-this is an event in the event log-this time can be reduced so that the conversions are abandoned earlier and waste less time. Reducing the time may mean that some items do not have their content indexed; the metadata is still indexed and the item archived as normal.

<b>Site Advanced content conversion setting name</b>	<b>Value</b>
Conversion Timeout	Default: 10 (minutes)

# Amazon Web Services (AWS) Cloud

Enterprise Vault now supports Amazon Simple Storage Service (S3) as primary storage, letting you store primary archived data in the AWS public cloud. Enterprise Vault now supports Amazon Commercial Cloud Services (C2S) to store primary archived data in the AWS Government cloud for US Federal Agencies.

Veritas recommends deploying Enterprise Vault in AWS to get all the benefits that AWS provides for IaaS by using Cloud Native services.

For hybrid environments where Enterprise Vault is deployed on-premise and primary storage resides in the cloud, there would be a significant impact on archiving, indexing, and retrieval performance. Additionally, any retrieval will incur higher costs making the hybrid environment less optimal for Supervision and Discovery.

When you create a partition for Amazon Simple Storage Service (S3), the data is stored in non-WORM mode by default. You can choose to create the partition for Amazon Simple Storage Service (S3) in WORM mode using S3 Object Lock feature of Amazon. In this case, you need to set additional permissions for the IAM Role and STS Assume Role authentication methods. For details, refer to [Using Amazon Simple Storage Service \(S3\) as a primary storage for Enterprise Vault](#).

## Deployment for Performance Measurements

Enterprise Vault performance varies based on the Amazon environment used, such as the storage class and the authentication method used. The Instance type c5.2xlarge has been used to measure the performance, and it has been observed that the performance matches the Enterprise Vault recommendations. The c5.2xlarge instance is well suited for high-

## Performance Numbers

performance computing and any other similar tasks. Deploying a more performance-oriented instance yields higher performance.

For best practices on deploying Amazon S3, see [Veritas Enterprise Vault™ Best Practice for Implementing Enterprise Vault on AWS and Microsoft Azure Cloud](#).

The following deployments are used for measuring the performance:

- Instance Type: c5.2xlarge
- Enterprise Vault Partition: Amazon S3 Partition with the following:
  - Authentication = IAM Role
  - Storage Class = Standard
  - Encryption = None
- Enterprise Vault 14.1
  - Content Source = SMTP
  - Number of items = 15,00,000

## Performance Numbers

Enterprise Vault observed the following performance metrics for a deployment in Amazon with EC2 instance and S3 Standard as storage.

	Items per hour	Size per item in KB
Archiving time	85000- 95000	167
Indexing time	50000 – 60000	167
Ingestion rate	80000 – 90000	167
Expiry time	220000 – 240000	167

---

**Note:** Performance numbers for archiving and storage expiry will be slightly on the lower side when the partition is created in WORM mode using the S3 Object Lock feature as compared with the partition created in the default non-WORM mode.

---

# Microsoft Azure Cloud

Enterprise Vault now supports Microsoft Azure Blob Storage as primary storage, letting you store primary archived data in the Azure public cloud. Enterprise Vault also supports Microsoft Azure Blob Storage as primary storage, letting you store primary archived data in the Azure Government cloud for US Government Agencies.

You can use Hot and Cool access tier to store and access data. You can use this primary partition to archive, restore, search, and delete the data when Enterprise Vault is hosted in the on-premise and cloud network.

Veritas recommends deploying Enterprise Vault in Microsoft Azure Cloud to get all the benefits that Azure Cloud provides for IaaS by using Cloud Native services.

For hybrid environments where Enterprise Vault is deployed on-premise and primary storage resides in the cloud, there would be a significant impact on archiving, indexing, and retrieval performance. Additionally, any retrieval will incur higher costs making the hybrid environment less optimal for Supervision and Discovery.

## Deployment for Performance Measurements

Enterprise Vault performance varies based on the Azure environment used, such as the access tier and the authentication method used. The Instance type F8s\_v2 has been used to measure the performance, and it has been observed that the performance matches the Enterprise Vault recommendations.

For best practices on deploying Microsoft Azure Government Cloud or Microsoft Azure Blob Storage, [Veritas Enterprise Vault™ Best Practice for Implementing Enterprise Vault on AWS and Microsoft Azure Cloud](#).

The following deployments are used for measuring the performance:

- Instance Type: F8s\_v2

**Performance Numbers**

- Enterprise Vault Partition: Microsoft Azure Blob Storage Partition with the following:
  - Authentication = Standard
  - Access tier = Default
  - Encryption = Microsoft-managed (enabled by default)
- Enterprise Vault 14.1
  - Content Source = SMTP
  - Number of items = 15,00,000

## Performance Numbers

Enterprise Vault observed the following performance metrics for a deployment in Microsoft Azure Blob Storage.

	<b>Items per hour</b>	<b>Size per item in KB</b>
Archiving time	90000 – 100000	167
Indexing time	40000 – 50000	167
Ingestion rate	90000 – 100000	167
Expiry time	90000 – 100000	167